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Abstract

This report is a summary of the accomplishments of the “Scalable Solutions for Processing and Searching Very Large Document Collections” LDRD, which ran from FY08 through FY10. Our goal was to investigate scalable text analysis; specifically, methods for information retrieval and visualization that could scale to extremely large document collections. Towards that end, we designed, implemented, and demonstrated a scalable framework for text analysis – ParaText - as a major project deliverable. Further, we demonstrated the benefits of using visual analysis in text analysis algorithm development, improved performance of heterogeneous ensemble models in data classification problems, and the advantages of information theoretic methods in user analysis and interpretation in cross language information retrieval. The project involved 5 members of the technical staff and 3 summer interns (including one who worked two summers). It resulted in a total of 14 publications, 3 new software libraries (2 open source and 1 internal to Sandia), several new end-user software applications, and over 20 presentations. Several follow-on projects have already begun or will start in FY11, with additional projects currently in proposal.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The focus of the “Scalable Solutions for Processing and Searching Very Large Document Collections” LDRD was to investigate and develop scalable methods for a complete, end-to-end text modeling and analysis process, from extracting raw document information to data modeling, data analysis, and visualization. The motivation for this work included 1) the importance of text analysis in national security applications and 2) the lack of an interoperable set of scalable components for text analysis and visualization. Sandia’s expertise in data and graph analysis, matrix methods, visualization and high-performance computing made it natural for us to pursue this line of inquiry.

Our goal was to develop such a system, which in turn could be used in a variety of applications to support text modeling and analysis of extremely large document collections. Thus, we developed ParaText, which is now available through the open source Titan toolkit as part of its text analysis library. ParaText has also been integrated into several operational and research prototype applications deployed throughout Sandia and to several government customers.

The remainder of this report is a discussion of the accomplishments of the ParaText project, including its impact on current and future applications and related areas of research.
2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

In this section, we present a description of the ParaText LDRD project. After a description of the problem addressed by the project, the organization of this section reflects the major ideas and capabilities in terms of the software capabilities developed.

2.1 Problem Description

Intelligence analysts have a big data problem. They answer questions of national security under extreme time pressure. In addition, they explore data iteratively by testing various “what-if” scenarios. As a result, quick turnaround time for processing, searching, and exploring large document collections is critical. At the start of this project, no end-to-end scalable visual text analysis capabilities existed, and this prevented analysts from exploring, annotating, and analyzing large, existing document collections. The goal of this project was to couple Sandia’s world-class capabilities in high performance computing with expertise in text analysis and visualization.

We focused on the development of a suite of independent, scalable capabilities to process and search large document collections for use in data analysis and visualization software that could efficiently leverage parallel algorithms. Along the way, we developed exact and conceptual searching methods, as well as visual analysis methods, for understanding uncertainty inherent in models associated with text analysis. The resulting system, called ParaText, has served two purposes over the life of the project: (1) as an environment for rapid prototyping of algorithms, and (2) as a production capability for new and existing analysis software applications.

At the start of this project, much of the work at Sandia in text analysis had focused on entity and link extraction to populate graphs for visualization and graph-theoretic analysis. The ParaText LDRD project expanded on these ideas by using a combination of trees, vector spaces, and graphs to provide novel and distinguishing analysis and visualization capabilities to analysts interested in exploring textual data in a variety of ways not well suited to entity relationship graphs.

The primary data modeling technique researched and implemented in this project was Latent Semantic Analysis (LSA) [DeDuFuLaHa, DuFuLaDeHa, LaMcDeKi], where documents are modeled as term (feature) vectors. An LSA model is a statistical model of the variance of the terms both within and across the documents and can be used to describe the latent, or hidden, relationships between documents and terms appearing in those documents. LSA supplies conceptual organization and analysis of document collections by modeling high-dimension feature vectors in many fewer dimensions. In this project, we have concentrated on how to efficiently implement the LSA method and associated data processing when working with extremely large collections of documents.

Throughout this paper, we denote $n$ as the number of documents in a collection, $m$ as the number of unique terms, and $p$ as the number of processors used for computation. LSA computes a truncated singular value decomposition (SVD) of a term-document matrix [BeDuOb], i.e., the collection of feature vectors associated with the documents in a text
collection, or corpus. More specifically, the rank-k LSA model of a term-document matrix, \( A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \), is its rank-k SVD,

\[
A_k = U_k \Sigma_k V_k^T,
\]

where \( U_k \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k} \), \( \Sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \), and \( V_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} \) contain the \( k \) leading left singular vectors, singular values, and right singular vectors, respectively. Furthermore, \( U_k^T U_k = V_k^T V_k = I_k \), where \( I_k \) is the \( k \times k \) identity matrix. Often, the rank of the LSA model in (1) is chosen such that \( k << \min(m,n) \), leading to a reduction in model noise and computation for many analysis methods.

One particular type of analysis that is widely performed using LSA—and the motivating application for the work in the ParaText LDRD project—is determining conceptual relationships between two documents, two terms, or a term and a document. Graph data structures and algorithms are often used in this case [15]. For example, document clustering using graph layout methods and LSA modeling can be performed by first computing distances, or similarity scores, between all pairs of documents using the right singular vectors of the rank-k SVD of a term-document matrix. In this work, we use cosine similarities, defined as

\[
e_{ij}(k) = \frac{\langle v_i^k \Sigma_k, v_j^k \Sigma_k \rangle}{\|v_i^k \Sigma_k\|_2 \|v_j^k \Sigma_k\|_2},
\]

between documents \( i \) and \( j \), where \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is the standard inner product, \( v_i^k \) is the \( i \)th row of \( V_k \) from (1), and \( \| \cdot \|_2 \) is the \( L^2 \)-norm, or standard Euclidean norm. The similarities are stored as a similarity matrix, \( E \), whose element \( (i,j) \) is defined in (2). To support large corpus analysis, only edge weights above a threshold are used in practice, leading to sparse similarity matrices. This similarity matrix is then used as a weighted adjacency matrix to construct a similarity graph. In this graph, nodes represent documents and edges represent the relationships between documents, weighted by similarity scores. Finally, graph layout methods are used to represent clusterings of the documents, i.e., related nodes are grouped together and unrelated nodes are separated in the resulting graph layout.

Much of our work focused on developing implementations of the ideas presented above for use on distributed memory systems. In the next section, we describe additional details of the full ParaText system. Finally, several additional research areas and associated software development efforts that were part of the ParaText LDRD project are described.

### 2.2. ParaText

The ParaText system is comprised of a collection of text analysis components designed to function within a Titan data processing pipeline [WyBa], where data sources, filters, and sinks can be combined in arbitrary ways. The ParaText components can be used as a C++, Python, or Java programming library, a set of command-line programs, or via a web service that implements a RESTful API [FiTa] atop a commodity HTTP server. Thus, the ParaText capabilities outlined in this report can be accessed using a variety of programming languages and environments.
2.2.1 The ParaText Pipeline

The ParaText pipeline consists of a set of text-analysis and LSA-specific C++ components with inputs and outputs that are connected to form the pipeline. Because these components are automatically “wrapped” for use from other programming languages including Python and Java, users are free to create pipelines using their language of choice. In this section we outline a “typical” ParaText pipeline, while emphasizing that users may wish to alter the pipeline by substituting alternate components and/or configuring the pipeline differently.

The first part of the pipeline consists of filters for extracting and transforming text. With the exception of determining which files should be processed on which processors, the filters described in this section all parallelize extremely well.

**Document Ingestion**

The Document Ingestion filter is responsible for partitioning a set of documents and loading them into memory as a table where each row corresponds to a document. We have implemented several partitioning strategies that control how processors determine which files to load locally. The Documents partitioning strategy does a simple round-robin distribution where each process loads $1/p$ documents from the set. This strategy is simple to implement and requires no communication, but can lead to imbalanced loading as some processors may accumulate documents that are smaller- or larger-than-average. The Bytes partitioning strategy tries to balance loading by assigning files to processors so that each processor receives roughly the same number of input bytes. Because this is a variation on bin packing — a combinatorial NP-hard problem — we use a heuristic approach of maintaining a “bucket” for each processor, then inserting each file, in descending order of file size, into whichever bucket contains the fewest number of file bytes at the time. Early versions of this approach (which we call Thrash) did not require communication, but performed poorly due to file system contention as every processor simultaneously tried to retrieve the size of every file in the set. Subsequent versions use a single processor to retrieve file sizes and distribute them to the remaining processes before beginning the bucketing process.

**Text Extraction**

Once the local table of documents to be loaded has been created, we use MIME type information to extract text, using the Text Extraction
filter. This filter contains a collection of strategy objects, each of which is responsible for extracting text from documents of a given MIME type. Note that the text extraction strategies can perform arbitrarily-complex operations to extract text from a document. These include extracting text from binary file formats such as PDF or word-processing documents, extracting metadata from images, or even performing optical character recognition on the contents of an image. For the experiments presented here, we relied on a default extraction strategy that handles all text/* MIME types. The extracted text is stored as Unicode [Un] strings using UTF-8 encoding, so the system is capable of working with mixed-language text.

**Tokenization**

Following text extraction, the *Tokenization* filter converts document text into a table of tokens. Tokenization is performed by splitting the document text into tokens using delimiters specified as half-open ranges of Unicode code points. Specifying ranges of logograms as “kept” delimiters supports tokenization and analysis of logosyllabic scripts such as Chinese, Korean, and Japanese, so that individual glyphs in those languages become tokens for analysis.

**Token Length Filtering**

We use two instances of the *Token Length* filter to discard tokens that are either too short or too long. This improves the downstream analysis by reducing noise in the data models.

**N-Gram Extraction**

The *N-Gram Extraction* filter converts individual tokens into n-grams and is parameterized to allow arbitrary values for n. We used unigrams (n = 1) for all experiments in this paper.

**Case Folding**

We use the *Case Folding* filter to transform the resulting tokens to a form where they can be used in case-insensitive comparisons. This transformation is carried out using the rules provided by Unicode, so the results can be used for case-insensitive comparisons across all Unicode-supported languages.

**Token Value Filtering**

To provide filtering of stop-words, we use the *Token Value* filter, which is parameterized by a list of tokens to be discarded. We used the standard stop word list from the SMART project [Vi].

**Term Dictionary Creation**

Once each processor has created its list of local terms (tokens), the *Term Dictionary* filter creates a global dictionary where each term is listed exactly once. Because this process necessitates communication of large numbers of strings between processors, we created several different implementations for testing: in *N-to-1*, every processor sends its local terms to processor 0, which creates the global dictionary and broadcasts the results back to every processor. For *N-to-N*, each processor broadcasts its local terms to all other processors, which then create their own copies of the global dictionary. In the *Binary Tree* approach, each processor sends its local terms to a “neighbor”, which consolidates them with its own local terms, sending the results to a “super neighbor”, and-so-on until the complete global dictionary has been created on one process that broadcasts the results to the others. The *Round Robin* approach involves processor $k$ sending its local terms to processor $(k + 1) \mod p$, where they are consolidated with the local terms. This
process runs \( p \) times, so that every term eventually reaches every processor. Finally, we implemented a MapReduce approach that uses the MapReduce-MPI library [PlDe] to consolidate and distribute terms.

**Term Document Matrix Creation**

Given the list of local terms and the global term dictionary computed by the Term Dictionary filter, each processor uses the Term Document Matrix filter to create its local portion of a sparse, distributed term-document frequency matrix (no inter-processor communication is required). For each term in the local term list, the global term dictionary is used to determine the corresponding matrix row. Two methods are implemented for term dictionary lookup: Global lookup is a naive approach where the global term dictionary is used to lookup each term with \( O(m \log m) \) performance; Global+Local lookup is a more sophisticated two-stage approach where local lookup results are cached in a smaller lookup table for faster lookups.

**Term Weighting**

Once the term-document frequency matrix is generated, it must be weighted to incorporate the importance of the terms throughout the collection. In this paper, we focus on the standard log-entropy weighting scheme [EgLoBi] employed in many LSA studies, which illustrates the challenges associated with term weighting on distributed memory architectures. This weighting scheme involves the product of local quantities (frequencies of terms within each document) and global quantities (entropies of terms across the entire document collection). In ParaText, the local and global computations are separated into different filters: the Log Weighting and Entropy Weighting filters, respectively.

The entropy of term \( i \) across the collection is defined as

\[
g_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{tf_{ij} \log \frac{tf_{ij}}{gf_i}}{gf_i}
\]

where \( tf_{ij} \) is the frequency of term \( i \) in document \( j \) and \( gf_i \) is the global frequency of term \( i \) across the collection. Inter-processor communication is required both in computing \( gf_i \) for each term and the sum in \( g_i \) for each term. We have implemented several methods to study the impact of these communication requirements. In the \( N \)-to-1 method, every processor computes its local values of \( gf_i \) and sends those to processor 0, which sums the values and broadcasts the results back to every processor. The sums for \( g_i \) are then computed in a similar fashion. In the \( N \)-to-N method, \( gf_i \) and \( g_i \) are first computed locally and then results are broadcast to all other processors for computing the global values. In both methods, there is the option to broadcast the locally computed values using either dense or sparse vectors. Once the local and global term weights are computed, the Scale Dimension filter then applies these weights to the matrix.

**Singular Value Decomposition**

To compute the SVD of the weighted term-document matrix, \( A \), ParaText wraps the distributed block Krylov Schur method from the Anasazi package of the Trilinos solver library [BeHeLeTh]. Using shallow copies of data into the sparse matrix class in Trilinos, we avoid data replication. The rank- \( k \) truncated SVD of \( A \) is computed as \( A_k = U_k \Sigma_k V_k^T \), where \( U_k \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times k} \), \( \Sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \), and \( V_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \).
and $V_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ are matrices containing the left singular vectors, singular values, and right singular vectors, respectively.

### 2.2.2 The ParaText Command Line Tools

While the ParaText pipeline presents a flexible system for research into LSA and related algorithms, we found that it provided more flexibility than was required for more production oriented environments where a user simply wishes to apply the standard LSA techniques to their data. Toward this end we have created two command-line tools, `paratext-lsa` and `paratext-lsa-query` that implement ParaText pipelines for generating LSA models and performing document search, respectively. Each tool can be run serially or in parallel using MPI, reading and writing model artifacts to the file system using a variety of file formats and parameters. For example, a user could compute an LSA model in serial on a collection of documents stored in a file system directory as follows:

```
$ paratext-lsa --directory /path/to/corpus --rank 25 --export-feature-dictionary=features.vtk --export-global-weighting=weighting.vtk --export-left-singular-vectors=lsv.vtk --export-singular-values=sv.vtk --export-right-singular-vectors=rsv.vtk
```

This command creates a ParaText pipeline, ingests documents from the given file system directory, and computes a rank-25 LSA model, storing model artifacts to disk. Note that there are many more options for controlling the parameterization of the pipeline, exporting intermediate outputs, and importing pre-computed artifacts. For example, using the `paratext-lsa` executable a user could pre-compute a weighted term-document matrix once, storing it as an artifact, then use the stored matrix as an input to `paratext-lsa` in subsequent runs, computing multiple SVD models and bypassing the document parsing and tokenization stages of the pipeline.

Similarly, the artifacts generated by `paratext-lsa` are used as inputs to `paratext-lsa-query` when performing document search. For example, the following command uses the artifacts from the previous example to search for the terms “united” and “states” (and semantically similar terms):

```
```

### 2.2.3 ParaText Server

As a further means of using the ParaText system, we provide the ParaText server, which allows users to access the `paratext-lsa` and `paratext-lsa-query` executables through a RESTful HTTP interface via a commodity web server. This client-server approach to using ParaText makes it easier to integrate ParaText into production environments that already use commodity web protocols. Further, this client-server approach makes ParaText more accessible to end-users who are focused on analysis results rather than research. In its present form, ParaText Server is a shared-library module (plugin) for the popular Apache httpd server.
2.3. LSAView

LSAView was developed as a tool to interactively explore the impact of parameter choices on the model produced by LSA. We used the tool to examine different rank and scaling choices with respect to their impact on modeling and analysis functions from the perspective of the analyst at the end of the larger text analysis pipeline. Focusing on one of the central tasks that Latent Semantic Analysis is used for, calculating document similarities, we used document cluster structure to select the rank for a particular corpus. This value turned out to be much lower than the ranks recommended by conventional statistical approaches. A full account of this work is provided in our VAST paper [CrDuSh]. Figure 2 presents a screen shot of LSAView used to analyze two different LSA models of a single document collection to determine which model is more suitable for identifying document clusters.

![Figure 2. Examples of different views in the LSAView application: (1a) and (2a) Graph View, (1b) and (2b) Matrix View, (1c) and (2c) You Are Here View, (3a) Small Multiples View, (3b) Difference Matrix View, and (4) Document View.](image)

2.4. TextView

TextView is a visual tool for comparing LSA and Latent Dirichlet Analysis (LDA), a text analysis algorithm based on a probabilistic modeling approach. The algorithms are compared relative to the same input corpus with respect to two types of results, (1) the concepts identified and (2) the document similarity graphs produced. Each type of result is displayed in a separate tabbed view in the tool, as shown in the figures below. The user moves back and forth between views to explore the connections between topics, terms, and documents.
Under the Term/Concept tab (Figure 3), a bipartite graph displays the similarities between LSA concepts (blue nodes on the left) and LDA topics (orange nodes on the right). The strength of the similarity between any concept-topic pair is color-coded in the edge between them, with the color range going from blue to black to red. To the right of the graph is a table with the terms for each concept (light-blue columns) or topic (light-orange columns) in sorted order of importance. The strength of each term’s contribution to a particular concept/topic is also depicted by the darkness of the font used to display it. Selecting edges in the bipartite graph will down-select the table to just the concept/topic pairs connected by those edges. A term within the table of concept/topic lists can be selected, highlighting that same term in all lists and within any displayed document texts in the Document/Concept tab (Figure 4).

Figure 3: Term/Concept tab views with LSA concepts in light-blue and LDA topics in light-orange. The bipartite graph displays weighted similarities between LSA concepts on the left and LDA topics on the right. Importance-ordered term lists for concepts and topics are in the term/topic table on the right.
The Document/Concept tab views include document similarity graphs for LSA (left) and LDA (right), in which the nodes represent documents and the edges display the strength of the similarity between them. Nodes are color-coded according to human-defined concept labels provided by the data source, a summarization contest. Below each graph is a You Are Here view, which shows the view outline as a red rectangle within the context of an overview of the full graph (see the center views in Figure 4). Below that is a table displaying the weighted contributions for each document for each concept or topic. The background color for the document identifier uses the same label color-coding as the nodes to assist in finding the associated nodes in the graphs. Selecting documents from either the table or the graphs will display the documents’ text in the view on the right.

![Document/Concept tab views](image)

Figure 4: Document/Concept tab views: document similarity graphs (LSA on the left, LDA on the right), You Are Here views below each graph providing context, a table of document weights for each concept/topic, and document text highlighting the selected term in the term/topic table. Selected documents are shown in black in the graphs, highlighted in the table, and their text displayed.
2.5. HEMLOCK

HEMLOCK is a software tool for constructing, evaluating, and applying heterogeneous ensemble data models for use in solving classification problems involving data with continuous or discrete features. HEMLOCK consists of various data readers, machine learning algorithms, model combination and comparison routines, evaluation methods for model performance testing, and interfaces to external, state-of-the-art machine learning software libraries. HEMLOCK uses XML for all input and output, and standard readers and writers are being used for data input and output. Data models are created by a variety of supervised learning methods: decision tree and random forest inducers plus a linear perceptron learner as part of HEMLOCK along with interfaces to the methods available in the WEKA software library of machine learning algorithms. Evaluation methods for assessing individual model performance include accuracy computation, confusion matrix generation, receiver operating characteristics (ROC) analysis, and area under the curve (AUC) analysis. Methods for combining heterogeneous models into a single ensemble model include majority voting and parameter regression.

Classification is the task of learning a target function that maps data instances to one of several predefined categories. These target functions are also called classifiers, classifier models, and hypotheses. We refer to a classifier constructed or learned from an ensemble of different types of classifiers is as a heterogeneous ensemble classifier. Note that such classifier models are also referred to as hybrid ensemble classifiers. There are several challenges associated with learning heterogeneous ensemble classifiers. The choice of base classifiers (i.e., ensemble member classifier models) needs to be determined. Classifier performance can differ greatly across data sets, and thus choosing the collection of classifiers that will best classify a given set of data is often a difficult task. Each base classifier can be parameterized in many different ways, and thus an understanding of how these parameters are correlated within each base classifier as well as across the ensemble is key to classifying data sets accurately.

A further challenge is combining base classifiers effectively, so that the performance of the ensemble classifier is better than that of the individual classifiers. There are two basic strategies for combining classifiers in an ensemble: fusion and selection [WoBoKe]. Ensembles that use selection try to find the best classifier ensemble member that is most capable of correctly classifying a particular instance. Ensembles that use selection are also known as cooperative ensembles. In contrast to selection, fusion methods make use of the outputs of all of the classifiers to try to determine the label of an instance. Voting is an example of fusion: each of the classifiers in the ensemble is given one vote and all of the votes are counted towards deciding which output label should be chosen. Ensembles that use fusion are commonly referred to as competitive ensembles. There are three levels at which classifiers output can be combined using fusion: label, ranking, measurement. At the label level the ensemble will only use the one class label that each of the base classifiers determines is correct. For ranking, base classifiers in the ensemble provide a ranked list of class labels reflecting how likely each class is marked as the correct label for each data instance. Finally, at the measurement level each of the base classifiers provides output that is intrinsic to the particular learning algorithm used. Typically, measurements consist of probability distributions of the class assignment for each instance.
It has been shown that the strength of an ensemble is related to the performance of the base classifiers and the lack of correlation between them (i.e., model diversity) [BiWa, WaPaEt]. One way to decrease the correlations between the classifiers while increasing or maintaining the overall performance of the ensemble classifier is to include base classifiers derived from different learning algorithms such as decision trees, neural networks, perceptrons, support vector machine, etc.

Figure 5 illustrates the effectiveness of using HEMLOCK to build improved heterogeneous ensemble models. The task being evaluated was handwritten digit classification, i.e., trying to determine which digit is represented in a scanned image of a digit written by a human. The figure presents results of using three different classification modeling methods: association rules, a naïve Bayes classifier, and a decision tree. Moreover, the results include both homogeneous ensemble classifiers and the best performing heterogeneous ensemble classifier (chosen from a collection of ensemble classifiers created using various combinations of the individual classifier models). The results indicate that the heterogeneous ensemble models outperform both the individual classifiers as well as the homogeneous ensemble classifiers. Although there was more computation involved in the heterogeneous ensemble classifiers (due to the many models built in fusing the individual classifiers in different ways), the improved models required no subject matter expertise and were generated automatically. HEMLOCK thus provides new approaches to problems and research involving supervised machine learning and empirical predictive modeling.

![Graph showing performance comparisons between base classifiers, homogeneous ensembles, and heterogeneous ensembles for the task of image classification.](image)

**Figure 5.** Performance comparisons between base classifiers, homogeneous ensembles, and heterogeneous ensembles for the task of image classification.
3. IMPACT

In this section, we describe the project’s technical, application, and the programmatic impacts.

3.1 Technical Impact

In addition to the direct impact of the ParaText research itself, the ParaText project has had tremendous influence on projects both internal and external to Sandia National Laboratories.

- As part of the initial release of the open source version of the Titan toolkit, the ParaText filters, utilities, and support components for scalable text analysis became the first fully operational Titan Library.

- To make it easier to use the Trilinos linear algebra libraries (also developed at Sandia) to implement the SVD calculations in ParaText, the ParaText team converted a subset of the Trilinos libraries to the CMak[e [MaHo] build system. Based on the success of this effort, the Trilinos team later converted the entire Trilinos project to CMake. This accomplishment paved the way for Titan/VTK users and developers to use the powerful distributed memory linear and nonlinear solvers in Trilinos and provided native configuration and build capabilities on desktop platforms (Windows and MacOS) not previously supported by Trilinos.

- To support the linear algebra operations needed for the ParaText pipeline, the ParaText team developed sparse and dense arbitrary-dimension array data structures for Sandia’s Titan framework. These data structures have become a key component in Titan, and have been used by other projects including the Networks Grand Challenge LDRD.

- To support ParaText Server, the ParaText team developed expertise and experience around commodity internet protocols (HTTP, RESTful APIs, etc) that is having a profound impact on Sandia data analysis across domains from intelligence analysis to scientific visualization.

- To expand the set of features computed in the ParaText models beyond space-delimited terms, the ParaText team developed an interface to the Linguistica software library\(^1\) for morphological analysis. The benefits of using morphemes (i.e., ) over space-delimited terms in information retrieval applications by members of the ParaText team [ChBaAb] led to integration of Linguistica routines for morphological analysis into Titan.

3.2 Project and Application Impact

3.2.1 Networks Grand Challenge (NGC)

Many of the techniques and components developed as part of the ParaText project have been used by the Networks Grand Challenge (NGC) LDRD project. Examples include the sparse and

\(^1\) [http://linguistica.uchicago.edu/linguistica.html](http://linguistica.uchicago.edu/linguistica.html)
dense array data structures developed for ParaText (repurposed for tensor analysis by the NGC), the ParaText LSA pipeline (combined with graph analysis techniques for one of the NGC prototypes) and the ParaText approach to client-server functionality (expanded by the NGC into the realm of interactive web visualization).

### 3.2.2 ThreatView

To support national security applications involving document analysis, including conceptual information retrieval (as opposed to Boolean search), cluster analysis, and entity relationship analysis, the ParaText team developed the Algebraic Engine. The Algebraic Engine is an interface to the LSALIB text analysis library and serial implementations of the ParaText pipeline components that supports caching for efficient use of multiple document collections, text models, and analysis artifacts. The main use of the Algebraic Engine is in the ThreatView information visualization tool.

### 3.2.3 Nuclear Attribution

The Timeline Treemap Browser was an application developed by Sandia National Laboratories for the National Technical Nuclear Forensics Center (NTNFC), in the Domestic Nuclear Detection Office (DNDO). The tool was part of a larger project in nuclear materials forensics for tracing material samples back to production sources. The browser enabled timelines of nuclear material production information from various facilities to be combined and explored. The application used LSALib to analyze documents associated with the timelines, producing an alternate trend-based view of the material production information that was visualized as a stacked chart showing how the relative importance of various themes in the documents changed over time. The application is described in a SAND report [CrHu], though the report is OUO.

![Figure 6: Views of the Timeline Treemap Browser displaying the evolution of genetic engineering data set. The back image shows the treemap view of timeline elements. The front image is the trend-based view showing thematic changes over time.](image-url)
ParaSpace is a CSRF-funded project to perform scalable sensitivity analysis on ensembles of simulation runs. It began as a generalization of ParaText’s vector space model, originally intending to replace the bag-of-words used in Latent Semantic Analysis (LSA) with a bag-of-features taken from a combination of input parameters and output features extracted from finite element mesh files generated by the simulations. In this way, the LSA term/document matrix was to be replaced by a feature/simulation matrix that could be passed directly into ParaText’s scalable infrastructure for doing Singular Value Decomposition (SVD). However, as the project progressed Canonical Component Analysis (CCA) was found to be better suited to correlating inputs and outputs. Although this means that ParaSpace can not use the ParaText pipeline directly, the ParaSpace CCA can still benefit from the ParaText SVD implementation, and is based upon ParaText components. Next year ParaSpace will use even more of the ParaText code-base, building upon the ParaText Server to convert ParaSpace to a multi-tier web-based delivery model. Shown in Figure 7, HelioView is a prototype application that was developed as part of the project for exploring correlations between input parameters and output metrics (non-mesh results).

![HelioView application showing CCA correlations for car data set.](image)

Figure 7: HelioView application showing CCA correlations for car data set.
3.2.5 LDRDView

A software application written for the Sandia LDRD office to support analysis of their funding portfolio, LDRDView was developed before this project started. One of the initial accomplishments of this project was interfacing LDRDView with the LSALIB text analysis library. This increased the amount of data that could analyzed using LDRDView and provided new capabilities for conceptual search and relationship analysis between projects in the LDRD portfolio. Figure 8 presents a snapshot of the LDRDView application using the tools developed as part of this project.

![Figure 8. The LDRDView application for analyzing funding portfolios.](image)

3.2.6 Multi-role Experiential Learning

ParaText was used in the experimental studies that were part of the Real-Time Individualized Training Vectors for Experiential Learning LDRD project. Specifically, benefits of using LSA to model participants for analyzing interactions in multi-role online environment were demonstrated in a recent peer-reviewed conference publication [RaFaTuWi].

3.3 Programmatic Impact

Programmatic impact of the ParaText LDRD project includes extensions of ideas leading to new funding proposals, activities of leadership and service by ParaText team members as a direct result of involvement on the project, several workshops associated with text analysis and visualization led by ParaText team members, several awards, and support for several summer intern students.
3.3.1 Funding

The following sections describe the impact of the ParaText LDRD project in terms of proposals submitted to a wide variety of sources. Analysis ideas and software capabilities developed as part of the ParaText LDRD project directly impacted these proposals.

3.3.1.1 CSRF/CSSE

The following CSRF/CSSE project was a direct consequence of the work on the ParaText LDRD project extended to analyzing modeling and simulation data associated with the ASC Program.

- **Sensitivity Analysis and Relationship Discovery in Large Ensembles of Simulation Runs (ParaSpace)**
  Patricia Crossno (PI), Timothy Shead (team), Shawn Martin, Warren Hunt, FY09-FY11, funded, $1.4M. This project extends the vector space model of ParaText from text corpora to finding correlations between inputs and outputs in ensembles of finite element simulations runs for doing sensitivity analysis.

3.3.1.2 DOE Office of Science

The following proposals submitted to the DOE Office of Science feature ideas originating from the ParaText project, including large-scale text analysis and machine learning.

- **Mathematical Analysis of Imperfect Petascale Data**
  Daniel Dunlavy (PI), FY10-FY12, ASCR MAPD call, not funded
  We propose to develop novel mathematical techniques for addressing data imperfections in the construction of feature data models and analysis methods. Our main goal is to develop methods for building data compression and machine learning models where local models are moved to distributed data stores and then updated, thus avoiding any moving of raw data. Local models will approximate global characteristics of the data as the models are passed and updated across the data stores, and techniques from ensemble learning will be used to optimally utilize local models to facilitate global analysis. Data imperfections will be incorporated into the modeling process directly and propagated across the data stores along with the analysis models to enable understanding of their impact on the overall characteristics of the data.

- **Topological Sensitivity Analysis for Text Analysis over Complex Networks**
  Scott Mitchell (PI), Daniel Dunlavy (team), FY10-FY12, ASCR MAPD call, not funded
  We propose to study combinatorial algebraic topology, specifically simplicial homology groups and their generators, Morse theory and Reeb graphs, and their effective computation. These components are central to understanding the space of possible interpretations of a corpus of text documents distributed over a computer network.
• **Institute for Informatics, Discrete Systems, and Data Analytics (IIDSDA)**
  
  *Richard Murphy (PI), Daniel Dunlavy (team), FY10-FY12, ASCR Math/CS Inst., not funded*

  To address the challenges of enabling peta- to extreme-scale computations for these new classes of problems, we propose to establish the DOE Institute for Informatics, Discrete Systems, and Data Analytics (IIDSDA). The institute will have a unified, multi-institutional structure consisting of members in the national labs, universities, and industry, with interaction and outreach across all three sectors.

3.3.1.3 **LDRD**

The following LDRD proposals contain data analysis and software ideas that stemmed from the ParaText LDRD project. Information listed for each proposal includes, project title, ParaText project staff associated with the proposed work, dates, LDRD IAT where the proposal was submitted, funding status, and a short description of the proposed work. Although none of the proposals were funded, the depth and variety of ideas as well as the IATs to which the work was proposed (EPS, DSA, NW and Senior’s Council) indicates the broad impact of the ParaText project on future work in the area of text analysis.

• **Uncertainty Analysis of Large Complex Text Modeling and Analysis Data Flow Pipelines**
  
  *Daniel Dunlavy (PI), Timothy Shead (team), FY11-FY13, EPS, full proposal, not funded*

  We propose development of new methodologies based on probabilistic and topological analysis to quantify the propagation of uncertainties through complex text modeling and analysis data flow pipelines; application of these methodologies to problems in the areas of cybersecurity and nuclear nonproliferation.

• **Assessing Terrorist Cell Viability from Internet Discourse**
  
  *Link Hamilton (PI), Daniel Dunlavy (team), FY11-FY13, DSA, idea, not funded*

  We propose to develop text mining techniques in order to identify recruitment messages, leaders, and followers from on-line conversations between gamers, and to follow the evolution of the groups to study dynamic properties like team lifetimes and organization life cycles.

• **Machine Learning for Adaptive Vulnerability Detection in Software Source Code**
  
  *Justin Basilico (PI), Daniel Dunlavy (team), FY11-FY13, DSA, idea, not funded*

  We propose applying supervised and unsupervised techniques of machine learning for structured data, such as statistical relational learning, hidden Markov models, and kernel-based methods, to the variety of structural relationships exhibited in programs and in combination with textual features.

• **Advanced Text Processing for Training Applications**
  
  *Andrew Scholand (PI), Daniel Dunlavy/Timothy Shead (team), FY11-12, EPS, not funded*

  We propose to conduct an in-depth analysis of the educational training data with linguistic and other statistical tools not currently available in Titan, evaluate the utility of the resulting performance assessment, and where appropriate and valuable, incorporate these educational data mining tools into Titan.
• **An Integrated Approach to e-Discovery, Email Marking, and Records Retention: Improving Workforce Compliance and Efficiency Using Text Analysis**  
  *J.T. McClain (PI), Daniel Dunlavy (team), FY10-FY12, Seniors' Council, idea, not funded*  
  We will build upon toolsets developed by the Cognitive Systems departments to develop a system to categorize emails on the user’s desktop, provide visual cues with suggestions on marking and retention, and aide in following through with the policy requirements (marking, retention, distribution, etc).

• **Integration, Analysis and Visualization of Data and Information Relationships for Strengthening our Knowledge and Confidence in the U.S. Stockpile**  
  *Daniel Dunlavy (PI), Patricia Crossno (team), FY09-FY11, NW, idea, not funded*  
  We will leverage the Sandia-developed TITAN information visualization toolkit to develop new data analysis and visualization methods to solve the problem of integration and analysis of data associated with stockpile stewardship and planning.

• **Anticipating Technology Innovation**  
  *Patricia Crossno (PI), Daniel Dunlavy (team), FY09-FY11, DSA, idea, not funded*  
  The proposal combined text analysis of technology trends in the research literature with a learning classifier system to categorize concepts according to the Theory of Inventive Problem Solving (TRIZ).

### 3.3.1.4 NMSBA

The New Mexico Small Business Assistance (NMSBA) program allows Sandia National Laboratories and Los Alamos National Laboratory to use a portion of their gross receipts taxes paid each year to provide technical advice and assistance to New Mexico small businesses. Through this program, lab researchers can help small businesses address important challenges to their business by using laboratory resources at no cost to the small business. The projects listed below were a direct consequence of the work on the HEMLOCK software framework developed as part of the ParaText LDRD project.

• **Improved Outlier/Anomaly Detection**  
  *Daniel Dunlavy (PI), FY10, funded, $10K*  
  Development of methods for model-based outliers associated with diabetes screening applications.

• **Dynamic Model Selection for Regression Models**  
  *Daniel Dunlavy (PI), FY11, in review as of September 2010*  
  Development of patient-specific selection methods for regression-based prediction models associated with diabetes screening applications.

### 3.3.2 Leadership and Service

Table 1 lists the leadership and service responsibilities associated with the ParaText LDRD project. All of the items listed came as a direct result of the work on this project.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date(s)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Personnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/08–present</td>
<td>Trilinos Advisory Group Member (direct consequence of ParaText-Trilinos interactions)</td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/08</td>
<td>Workshop Organizer: 2008 Sandia Workshop on Data Mining and Data Analysis</td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/09</td>
<td>Reviewer, IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics</td>
<td>Patricia Crossno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/09</td>
<td>Reviewer, 5th International Symposium on Visual Computing</td>
<td>Tim Shead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/08–7/08</td>
<td>Program Committee and Reviewer, IEEE Visualization 2008</td>
<td>Patricia Crossno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/09–7/09</td>
<td>Program Committee and Reviewer, IEEE Visualization 2009</td>
<td>Patricia Crossno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10–7/10</td>
<td>Program Committee and Reviewer, IEEE Visualization 2010</td>
<td>Patricia Crossno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY09</td>
<td>Analytics Advisory Team (SNL search capabilities leveraging informatics research)</td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/08–3/09</td>
<td>Organizer, MAPD (Mathematics for Petascale Data Analysis) Working Group</td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/09</td>
<td>Review Panel Member, <em>National Science Foundation, Department of Mathematics</em></td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/08–7/10</td>
<td>SIAM Professional Development Committee</td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/09</td>
<td>Co-organizer (with Misha Kilmer of Tufts University) for the Professional Development Evening of the 2009 SIAM Conference on Computational Science and Engineering Meeting</td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/08–5/09</td>
<td>Co-organizers, VizMining 2009 Workshop, accepted at the 2009 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining, Sparks, NV</td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy Patricia Crossno Tim Shead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/09–7/09</td>
<td>Co-organizer (with Suzanne Shontz of Penn State University), Professional Development Evening, 2009 SIAM Annual Meeting</td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/10-7/10</td>
<td>Co-organizer (with Suzanne Shontz of Penn State University), Professional Development Evening, 2010 SIAM Annual Meeting</td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/10-6/10</td>
<td>Program Committee and Reviewer, 2nd Workshop on Large-scale Data Mining: Theory and Applications</td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/10</td>
<td>Reviewer, IEEE Visualization 2010</td>
<td>Tim Shead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/09</td>
<td>Reviewer, International Symposium on Visual Computing 2009</td>
<td>Tim Shead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.3 Workshops

As part of the ParaText LDRD project, two workshops primarily focused on data analysis (and significantly on text analysis) and visualization were organized. The first, the 2008 Sandia Workshop on Data Mining and Data Analysis, was a follow-on to the 2007 workshop of the same name. It brought together researchers and application users interested in an open dialogue about data analysis problems and solutions in the current environment at Sandia National Laboratories. The second, the 2009 VisMining Workshop, was organized as part of the SIAM International Conference on Data Mining (SDM). Although the workshop was accepted by the SDM Program Committee and had several prominent researchers in the areas of data analysis and visualization on the VisMining Program Committee, a lack of quality submitted papers led to a cancellation of the workshop.

3.3.3.1 WDMDA

The 2008 Sandia Workshop on Data Mining and Data Analysis was co-organized by Daniel Dunlavy, Jim Brandt, and Ann Gentile. The intent of the workshop is to discuss research ideas, technical challenges, open questions, and potential for collaboration across departments, centers, and applications at Sandia in the areas of data mining and data analysis listed below. The workshop will comprise presentations by researchers from Sandia in these areas and group discussions, with the intent of understanding what we are doing today, how it fits into the world at large, and what seems promising to tackle next. The one-day workshop included over 50 participants and 12 presentations. A SAND report including a summary of the workshop findings and extended abstracts of the talks is available [BrDuGe].

3.3.3.2 VizMining

The VizMining 2009 Workshop was to be held in conjunction with the 2009 SIAM International Conference on Data Mining. The goal of this workshop was to bring together researchers and application experts interested in solving data analysis problems using combinations of data mining and visualization techniques. Researchers, software developers, and experts whose previous research had spanned both data mining and visualization were targeted to participate through membership in the Program Committee, papers, presentations, and software demos.

3.3.4 Awards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date(s)</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Personnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/08</td>
<td>SNL Award for Excellence: Outstanding Technical Contributions in Text Analysis</td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/08</td>
<td>SNL Award for Excellence: Organizing the 2008 Sandia Workshop on Data Mining and Data Analysis</td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/09</td>
<td>Technical Advance: SD# 11376, &quot;Language-independent unsupervised phrase extraction from text&quot;.</td>
<td>Peter Chew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/09</td>
<td>SNL Award for Excellence: Organizing the MAPD (Mathematics for Petascale Data Analysis) Working Group</td>
<td>Daniel Dunlavy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.5 Students

Several students were hired as student interns as part of the ParaText LDRD project. Below are descriptions of the projects for each of those students.

3.3.5.1 Sean Gilpin

Sean Gilpin, a M.Sc. student at San Jose State University and Ph.D. student at the University of California, Davis, spent two summers at Sandia National Laboratories (2008 and 2009) working on heterogeneous ensemble classification problems. Sean was the primary architect of the HEMLOCK software framework (see Section 2.5), which he used to study the performance of heterogeneous ensemble classifier models on a variety of data analysis problems, including the classification of document collections. Two reports detailing the work conducted by Sean are available [GiDu08, GiDu09].

3.3.5.2 Taylor (Tad) Turpen

Taylor (Tad) Turpen, an undergraduate student at the University of San Diego, spent the summer of 2009 working as a student intern at Sandia National Laboratories. Tad developed a software tool for semi-supervised learning, SUNER, specifically aimed at the problem of named entity recognition (NER) from text documents. Much work has been done by the machine learning and data analysis research communities on the NER problem, with several successful NER modeling methods based on supervised learning being developed. However, to reach high levels or performance of such models, a large amount of ground truth (i.e., manually annotated, human labeled, etc.) data is required. Tad’s work focused on semi-supervised learning approaches, which require only a small amount of ground truth to create models. In his work, Tad demonstrated that semi-supervised methods can be used to generate accurate NER models using much less data than that required for supervised methods. His SUNER software leverages the Stanford NER software system, which is based on conditional random field models. A report detailing the work conducted by Tad is available [TuDu09].

3.3.5.3 Becca Simon

Becca Simon, an undergraduate student at the College of Saint Benedict, is currently working as an intern at Sandia National Laboratories during the summer of 2010. Becca is working on developing spectral analysis capabilities in Trilinos for eventual use in Titan to support data clustering applications.
3.4 Publications and Presentations

The following publications and presentations were supported in part by this project.

Publications

Refereed Journal Articles


Refereed Conference Proceedings

• S.A. Gilpin and D.M. Dunlavy. Relationships Between Accuracy and Diversity in Heterogeneous Ensemble Classifiers, SIAM International Conference on Data Mining, submitted September 2009.

Book Chapters


SAND Reports


Presentations

• Scalable Solutions for Data Analysis and Information Visualization, Supercomputing 09, Portland, OR, November, 2009.
• NGC Integration and HPC Analysis, presentation incorporating ParaText to the Sandia Network Grand Challenge External Advisory Board, September 22, 2009.
• ParaText: Scalable Solutions for Processing and Searching Very Large Document Collections, Sandia LDRD Day Symposium, Albuquerque, NM, September 14, 2009.
• Presentations incorporating ParaText to potential WFO customers including ODNI, SOCPAC, SOCOM JIATF and SOCOM IATF, September, 2009.
• Persistent Homology for Parameter Sensitivity in Large-scale Text-analysis (Informatics) Graphs, CSRI Workshop on Combinatorial Algebraic Topology (CAT), Santa Fe, NM, August 28-30, 2009.
• Information Visualization with VTK, IEEE Visualization 2008, Columbus, OH, October 19, 2008.
• Timeline Treemap Browser, briefing to the National Technical Nuclear Forensics Center (NTNFC), part of the Domestic Nuclear Detection Office (DNDO), April 2009.
• Text Analysis and Machine Learning, presentation to potential WFO customers, February 2009.
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• Heterogeneous Ensemble Classification, 2008 Sandia Workshop on Data Mining and Data Analysis, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, July 22, 2008.
• Flexible Data Analysis and Visualization with Titan, 2008 Sandia Workshop on Data Mining and Data Analysis, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, July 22, 2008.
• Using Visualization for Relevancy Feedback Tuning of Text Analysis Algorithms, GFX Cafe, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, April 4, 2008.
• Using Visualization for Relevancy Feedback Tuning of Text Analysis Algorithms, CSRI Seminar, CSRI, Albuquerque, NM, April 17.
• Leveraging Trilinos for Data Mining and Data Analysis, Trilinos User Group Meeting, Albuquerque, NM, November 6-8, 2007.
• Building Trilinos Using CMake, Trilinos User Group Meeting, Albuquerque, NM, November 6-8, 2007.
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