

RECENT U.S. POLICY AND LEGAL IMPLICATIONS FOR ENERGY STORAGE VIS-À-VIS RPS MANDATES

Jacquelynne Hernández

Sandia National Laboratories, New Mexico, USA

ABSTRACT

The fast-approaching implementation dates for United States Renewable Portfolio Standards (RPS) accelerate the need for a clear energy storage federal policy. Further, the evolution from a vertical electricity delivery system to a market-based design structure and the technical challenges to transform the existing system to the next-generation smart grid require large-scale energy storage installations. However, energy storage at the utility level has a multiple personality. For, depending on its application, commercial, megawatt-scale energy storage devices can provide generation support, or serve as transmission and distribution asset deferral, or supply ancillary services as a market function. Each of these roles for energy storage brings with it legal and policy questions.

Keywords/phrases: RPS, grid-connected, energy storage, policy, market design

INTRODUCTION

In the United States, 29 states and the District of Columbia have adopted a Renewable Portfolio Standard, or RPS. A typical RPS can require an increase in renewable energy resources like wind or solar or even geothermal technologies. Just as the type of energy source may vary, so do the full implementation dates. In the U.S., the RPS is at the state level; thus there are several layers of complexity:

- (1) There is not a U.S. federal policy for RPS;
- (2) The regulations for RPS (about 40% of U.S. electricity sales) vary from state to state or are non-existent;
- (3) Importing Variable Energy Resources (VERs) into the grid affects reliability;
- (4) Energy storage was not specifically written into the legislation for RPS; and
- (5) There are environmental and market policies that affect the use of electrical energy storage at the federal, state, and local levels.

Figure 1 shows the RPS variability across the United States.

BACKGROUND

The U.S. Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) is responsible for regulating interstate transmission of electricity, natural gas, and oil. As part of its duty to the consumer, the FERC provides standards to protect the reliability of high-voltage interstate transmission and monitors energy markets.


There are policy and economic drivers at the federal level that impinge on state RPS mandates, such

as the Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) requirements for fossil fuel plants to reduce air pollutants or economic incentives like the Investment Tax Credit (ITC) loan guarantees for renewable energy technology advancement, production, and implementation. However, the United States does not have a national RPS mandate or standard.

As recently as February 2011, FERC issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that would require each of the grid operators under its jurisdiction to structure their regulation market tariffs to provide pay for performance (PFP). Grid operators would require implementing a pricing structure that pays faster ramping resources a higher price for their service. This, of course, implies use of energy storage technologies like the flywheel.

In October 2011, the PFP became a FERC market policy. It will reward specific energy storage technologies. Of course, other policy positions have to be adopted for VERs, energy efficiency goals, and RPSs for the policy to be effective. An outstanding challenge remains, because the energy storage industry has not clearly communicated that:

- Energy storage is NOT a product;
- Energy storage is a functionality;
- Liability issues specific to certain energy storage technologies hamper implementation (i.e., Compressed Air Energy Storage [CAES]); and
- Energy storage is an enabling technology that has legal implications for adding renewable resources to both transmission and distribution systems.



Resource: www.dsireusa.org/May2010

Figure 1. RPS variability across the United States.

PROBLEM

Electric power dispatchers manage variable, intermittent renewable energy sources by maintaining sufficient spinning reserves, adding automatic generation in fast-responding combustion turbines, or upgrading ramping rates. These technology applications represent temporary patchwork solutions. Deeper electric grid penetration of renewable resources will require energy storage for rapid dispatch and reliability. There are ten pumped storage plants and a single CAES facility in the United States, and only one state that has written legislation to satisfy RPS targets (California AB 2514) [1]. Even without RPS mandates, the many roles that advanced energy storage applications and technologies can play require an update of energy policy.

DISCUSSION

The Energy Storage Council (ESC) defines five distinct elements of the electric power market: fuel or energy sources, generation, transmission and marketing, distribution support, and energy services [2]. Energy storage can easily be an integrator of the existing market segments for conventional and renewable resources to create a more responsive market, and energy storage can also aid in technical challenges.

Energy Storage as Generation Support

Harvesting storage of bulk energy during low demand (at night) and then using the commodity in the daytime can satisfy peak demand on any given system. This approach permits arbitrage of both the production price of both demand periods as well as a uniform load

factor for generation (and possibly also transmission and distribution [T&D] systems). Further, when coupled with VERs, energy storage can represent baseload generation support; thus it has a dual role as generator and purchaser in an RPS arrangement.

Storage as Transmission and Marketing

Conditions on the power grid constantly change as loads change or as disruptions occur across the network (for wholesale power). Utilities ramp power plants up or down second by second to follow the load. Timing and access for loads help to account for congestion on the transmission system, which may require an expense to utilities that have to use stabilizing equipment. Requirements associated with the smart grid and use of renewable resources introduces even more stability issues. Energy storage is a viable resource for generation and transmission facilities for increased demand as well as for asset upgrade deferral.

The American Electric Power (AEP) company wanted to add a battery storage system into its system upgrade in Texas that has huge wind resources. AEP requested that the energy storage device be treated as a transmission facility (and therefore eligible for cost recovery through regulated transmission rates) and not as an energy market participant [3]. The Public Utility Commission (PUC) of Texas permitted AEP's battery as a transmission facility, with FERC's blessing. Western Grid Development got FERC's approval for a similar request but under protest from the California Independent System Operator Corporation (CAISO) [4], which would have to include the project as part of its Independent System Operator (ISO) regional planning process.

Storage and Ancillary Services

Regulation power consists of short spikes of power supplied when the grid is destabilized due to sudden increases in demand. Batteries are ideal to provide a stabilizing function, with small amounts of power. PJM uses lithium-ion batteries for regulation power. As early as 2009, the PJM interconnect operators demonstrated that transmission networks should be permitted to employ batteries to improve grid stability and reliability by balancing variations in their load.

A utility can become caught up in the current policy requirement that compels third-party sellers of ancillary services to prove in a formal study that they lack market power before being permitted to sell their services at market-based rates [5]. Other ancillary services that storage can support include asset deferral, contingency service, black start, voltage regulation, and area control. Here the term “area control” is defined as the prevention of unplanned transfer of power between one utility area and another.

Meeting RPS Mandates and Goals

At the end of 2010, only 12 of the 29 states were on track to meet their RPS mandates, and two of the six states with renewable energy *goals* were trending toward meeting electricity objectives (see Table 1). According to the report from the Institute for Energy Research (IER) [6], direct polling of states with RPS mandates and goals also revealed that at least four states have either not implemented their mandate or do not have data that are available to share. This means that 18 states, more than half of the participants, are not on track to meet their goals. The non-compliance penalties vary from nothing to up to \$50 per MWh at the discretion of a PUC. California’s non-compliance penalty is \$.05/kWh with a maximum penalty cap of \$25 million per utility per year of non-compliance [7].

Implementing Energy Storage


California is not on track to meet its RPS mandate. The state’s passage of AB 2514 legislation was written to incorporate energy storage as a tool to meet its RPS mandates. The assembly bill has met with implementation barriers. Rulemaking (R.) 10-12-007 required the PUC to determine at least three landmarks for energy storage: (1) the appropriate goals for load-serving entities, (2) commercially viable storage systems for utilities, and (3) target dates to satisfy pending mandates [8]. After two workshops in the summer of 2011, the California Public Utility Commission (CPUC) staff identified specific barriers to energy storage adoptions with policy and legal repercussions: lack of a cohesive regulatory framework, complexities of emergent markets without FERC product definitions, and lack of a cost recovery policy.

Table 1. U.S. RPS Mandate On-Track Status.


State	RPS Mandate (Quick Summary)	Non-Compliance Penalty
Colorado	30% RES by 2020 for IOUs, 10% for Coops and MUNIs	PUC determines; utility may not recover cost from customers
Delaware	25% RES by 2025 with 3.5% photovoltaic (PV)	Penalty begins at \$25 per MWh; it increases over time
Hawaii	40% RES by 2030	Discretion of PUC
Iowa	105 MW REW from two major facilities (MidAmerican and Alliant Energy), voluntary goal of 1,000 MW wind	None
Michigan	10% RES by 2015	Purchase and/or production of RECs
Minnesota	25% RES by 2025 (Xcel Energy: 30% by 2020)	Minn. PUC – construction of facilities, purchase RECs
Montana	15% RES by 2015	\$10 per MWh for RECs the utility failed to procure
New York	30% of consumption by 2015	NY PSC collects from electricity customers and contracts directly with renewable generators; therefore no penalty
Ohio	12.5% by 2025	Alternative compliance of \$45 per MWh adjusted annually
Oregon	Large utilities: 25% by 2025; small: 10%; smallest: 5%	Compliance payment (\$50/MWh)
Texas	5,880 MW by 2015	Administrative penalty – \$50 per MWh of renewable energy shortfall
Washington	15% RES by 2030 (3% by 2012)	\$50 per MWh of renewable shortfall
North Dakota	GOAL: 10% sold by 2015	Not Applicable
Virginia	GOAL: 15% of 2007 sales (9,693,239 MWh) by 2025	Not Applicable

Texas is on course to meet its RPS mandate of 5800 MW of renewable energy technology generation, sales, trade, etc., by 2015. Texas is unlike California and most of the other states listed in Table 1. In Texas, the Regional Transmission Office (RTO) is self-contained within the state and functions as the Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT), an ISO that represents more than 80 percent of the state’s electric load and schedules power on its electric grid. ERCOT also manages its own financial settlement for competitive bulk power. The Public Utility of Texas (PUCT) and the Texas Legislature share oversight of ERCOT; in other words, much of Texas does not fall under the jurisdiction of FERC.

On the other hand, because west Texas has a superb wind regime and utilizes wind as one of its renewable energy technologies, ERCOT faces similar energy storage implementation issues as the states in the Eastern and Western Interconnect (see Figures 2 and 3). In particular for wind, what is the policy needed to establish a proper vehicle to sell an end-use feature like peak shifting into its market at the wholesale level, and when you have an energy-only market, what policy tools should be in place to create a capacity market? Lastly, yet another policy has legal and economic considerations to address: since regulated transmission and distribution service provider (TDSP) companies may not provide competitive services, even if utilities were permitted to own storage to meet reliability requirements (North American Electric Reliability Corporation, NERC), what does an investor do with the reality that the TDSP still could not sell ancillary services that storage provides? In other words, where is the incentive to allow storage functions to be priced above cost to provide incentives for developers? In the end, Texas has similar concerns, complexities, and barriers as California and other states regarding how to implement energy storage to meet RPS mandates.



Resource: National Energy Grid Map Index
Global Energy Network Institute (GENI), Dec. 2008
Figure 2. Western and Eastern Interconnections.



Resource: www.nrel.gov/wind/system_integration/capabilities_transmission.html

Figure 3. Wind resources and transmission lines.

SUMMARY

Energy storage can play several roles in the vertical electricity delivery system: generation support, transmission, or bulk distribution at the utility level. As a market function, storage can be part of a system's energy management, bridging power, or as an ancillary service providing operator's tools to ensure power quality, reliability, or stability. The challenges of grid integration of renewable energy sources from the U.S. RPS mandates have brought to light a need to address legislative, regulatory, economic, and technical requirements related to energy storage.

Policy is the tool to advance technical challenges like integration of renewable energy sources into the existing grid. Energy storage is the catalyst to drive business models for electric power market strategies. But it is the group of subject matter experts who serve as resources to connect the dots for the end user and stakeholder to understand the many applications of energy storage for the next-generation (smarter) grid.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Energy storage experts, system operators, utility managers, and other stakeholders can work together to develop policy positions and propose industry standards that define the boundaries of energy storage – in particular, regulated functionality versus a market functionality.

CONCLUSIONS

Whether the energy sources are conventional or renewable, federal regulators and state utility asset owners must take a position to improve current policy to complement emerging energy storage technologies and applications to help move market design forward.

REFERENCES

[1] California Legislature, 2009-10 Assembly Bill Number 2514, "An Act to Amend Section 25302 of the Public Resources Code, and to Amend Sections 454.3, 9615, and 9620 of, and add Chapter 7.7 to Part 2 of Division 1 of, the Public Utilities Code, Relating to Energy (Storage)," Proposed by Nancy Skinner, approved by the California Legislature on June 21, 2010, and signed into law by Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger on September 29, 2010.

AB 2514 will mandate storage equal to 2.25% of daytime peak power by 2014 and 5% of daytime peak power by 2020. The bill would additionally require each electrical corporation and local publicly owned electric utility, commencing January 1, 2011, to implement a 5-year program to employ distributed thermal, mechanical, or electrochemical energy storage systems to maximize shifting of electricity use for air-conditioning and refrigeration from peak

demand periods to offpeak periods. The bill would require each electrical corporation and local publicly owned electric utility to develop energy storage plans to meet the energy storage portfolio procurement requirements and to report certain information to the Energy Commission.

[2] Energy Storage Council, "Energy Storage – The Missing Link in the Electricity Value Chain," May 2002, at www.energystoragecouncil.org.

[3] M. Giberson, "Energy Storage on the Grid: Transmission Equipment or Market Participant?," January 2010, at <http://www.knowledgeproblem.com>.

[4] D. McMillan, et al., "The Regulatory Challenge of Energy Storage," March 10, 2010, at <http://sedc-coalition.eu/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/MW-Storage-Farms-10-04-10-Storage-Costs.pdf>.

[5] 135 FERC 61,240, United States of America Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 18 CFR Chapter I [Docket Nos. RM11-24-000 and AD10-13-000], "Third-Party Provision of Ancillary Services; Accounting and Financial Reporting for New Electric Storage Technologies," June 16, 2011.

[6] Institute for Energy Research, <http://www.instituteforenergyresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/01/IER-RPS-Study-Final.pdf>.

[7] California Public Utilities Commission, "Order Instituting Rulemaking to Establish Policies and Cost Recovery Mechanisms for Generation Procurement and Renewable Resource Development," http://162.15.7.24/PUBLISHED/FINAL_DECISION/79039.htm.

[8] Energy Storage Framework: Staff Proposal, CPUC Energy Storage Proceeding R. 10-12-007, December 12, 2011, <http://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/efile/RULINGS/155568.pdf>.

Secondary Resources

D. Divine, "Getting to 33% RPS Through Comprehensive State-wide Grid Planning: A Revised Straw Proposal," Eagle Crest Energy Company, Inc., November 13, 2009.

L.R. Evers, "FERC Seeks Comments on Energy Storage and Ancillary Services," in *Smart Grid Legislation News*, June 22, 2011.

R. Fioravanti, "Energy Storage: Can it Replace Transmission?," August 31, 2010, at www.smartgridnews.com.

R. Fioravanti, "Energy Storage: Making it Work with Generation Applications," August 3, 2010, at www.smartgridnews.com.

News Analysis, U.S. Senate Gets Smart About Energy Storage with Tax Credit Legislation, July 21, 2010, "*The Storage Technology of Renewable and Green Energy Act of 2010 (STORAGE 2010)*"; Legislation proposed by U.S Senator Jeff Bingaman (D-NM), U.S. Senator Ron Wyden (D-OR), and U.S. Senator Jeanne Shaheen (D-NH).

R. Peltier, "Energy Storage Enables Just-in-Time Generation," in *Power Magazine*, April 1, 2011.

BIOGRAPHICAL NOTE



Jacquelynne Hernandez, Member of Technical Staff at Sandia National Laboratories (SNL), is in the Energy Systems Analysis group.

Education: BSEET (focus area: Power Electronics) from DeVry Institute of Technology in Decatur, Georgia; BSEE, University of New Mexico; MSEE, New Mexico State University, Power Engineering, part of the Electric Utilities Management Program.

Ms. Hernandez's work at SNL varies in topic, scope, and responsibility. It includes work in the Joint Test Assembly division that required use of her background in digital signal processing, telemetry designs, and assistance in running a ground station. Other assignments were as the Environment, Safety, and Health Coordinator for work in Papua, New Guinea's, meteorological station and work in Alaska for the Arctic Radiation Measurement in Barrow, on the North Slope. She was part of the mission-level planning and submission configuration of software and hardware, and a radiation subject matter expert for single-event upset calculations for space vehicles in Satellite and Monitoring planning. Recent power engineering work included efforts in Hawaii for the Renewable Energy Grid Integration Systems (REGIS) and similar clean energy initiatives that require interpretation of state-specific energy policy, and with the Middle East/South Asia International Programs that assist with exploring renewable energy technology options and policies in non-proliferation discussions. Energy policy assignments include work with the oil and gas industry for the transportation sector and SNL's national energy-water nexus roadmap.