

Improving Solid State Battery Safety Understanding through Calorimetry and Materials Characterization



2023 DOE OE Energy Storage Peer Review Santa Fe, NM Presentation ID #305

PRESENTED BY

Megan Diaz on Oct. 24, 2023





Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525. SAND NO. SAND2023-11290C

BACKGROUND AND TERMINOLOGY 2



Solid-State Battery (SSB) - some LE

Liquid Electrolyte (LE)



- Fills void spaces
- Low-energy density graphite anode
- Several heat release pathways Flammable solvent

Solid Electrolyte (SE)

Sufficient ionic conductivity

- Non-flammable
- High Energy Dense Li metal anode Poor interfacial contact

Lithium-Ion Battery (LIB)



All-Solid-State Battery (ASSB) - no LE



Albertus, P., Babinec, S., Litzelman, S. et al. Status and challenges in enabling the lithium metal electrode for high-energy and low-cost rechargeable batteries.

PREVIOUS WORK

CellPress

3

Perspective Are solid-state batteries safer than lithium-ion batteries?

Alex M. Bates,^{1,*} Yuliya Preger,¹ Loraine Torres-Castro,² Katharine L. Harrison,³ Stephen J. Harris,⁴ and John Hewson^{5,*}

SUMMARY

All-solid-state batteries are often assumed to be safer than conventional Li-ion ones. In this work, we present the first thermodynamic models to quantitatively evaluate solid-state and Li-ion battery heat release under several failure scenarios. The solid-state battery anal**Context & scale** A string of recent battery fires has sparked conversations on the safety of Li-ion batteries. A possible path to battery safety is a

Joule

Key Questions of Previous Work

1.) Are solid-state batteries actually safer than LIB?

2.) If a small amount of LE is added to the battery (10%), is there a significant heat release difference compared to the ASSB technology?
-mitigate interfacial resistance



PREVIOUS WORK

CellPress

4

Perspective Are solid-state batteries safer than lithium-ion batteries?

Alex M. Bates,^{1,*} Yuliya Preger,¹ Loraine Torres-Castro,² Katharine L. Harrison,³ Stephen J. Harris,⁴ and John Hewson^{5,*}

SUMMARY

All-solid-state batteries are often assumed to be safer than conventional Li-ion ones. In this work, we present the first thermodynamic models to quantitatively evaluate solid-state and Li-ion battery heat release under several failure scenarios. The solid-state battery anal**Context & scale** A string of recent battery fires has sparked conversations on the safety of Li-ion batteries. A possible path to battery safety is a

Joule

Key Questions of Previous Work

1.) Are solid-state batteries actually safer than LIB?

2.) If a small amount of LE is added to the battery (10%), is there a significant heat release difference compared to the ASSB technology? -mitigate interfacial resistance







5 PROJECT OBJECTIVES

OBJECTIVE: Experimentally validate the key questions from the thermal modeling paper using differential scanning calorimetry and materials characterization techniques.

1.) Are solid-state batteries actually safer than LIB?

2.) Is there a significant safety risk if a small amount of liquid electrolyte is added to the ASSB configuration?

PROJECT OBJECTIVES

OBJECTIVE: Experimentally validate the key questions from the thermal modeling paper using differential scanning calorimetry and materials characterization techniques.

1.) Are solid-state batteries actually safer than LIB?

2.) Is there a significant safety risk if a small amount of liquid electrolyte is added to the ASSB configuration?

SIGNIFICANCE:

- •The failure mechanisms of SSBs and ASSBs are not well understood, leading to an incomplete safety picture.
- •SSBs are close to commercialization. Major exothermic failure incidents will risk human life and public perception of SSBs, especially in grid storage near residential areas.
- •Lead to early investigation of safer battery chemistries
- •Improved modeling predictions of the expected heat release

7 PROJECT OBJECTIVES

OBJECTIVE: Experimentally validate the key questions from the thermal modeling paper using differential scanning calorimetry and materials characterization techniques.

1.) Are solid-state batteries actually safer than LIB?

2.) Is there a significant safety risk if a small amount of liquid electrolyte is added to the ASSB configuration?

SIGNIFICANCE:

- •The failure mechanisms of SSBs and ASSBs are not well understood, leading to an incomplete safety picture.
- •SSBs are close to commercialization. Major exothermic failure incidents will risk human life and public perception of SSBs, especially in grid storage near residential areas.
- •Lead to early investigation of safer battery chemistries
- •Improved modeling predictions of the expected heat release

ALIGNMENT WITH CORE MISSION OF DOE OE

Fundamental understanding of the safety of the materials used in advanced li-ion batteries provides for resiliency, reliability and a confident understanding of the flexibility of new, innovative energy storage technologies used in grid energy storage.

PROJECT METHODOLOGY

8

Experimental Procedure for Study of Cathode Degradation at Predetermined Temperatures

- 1.) Cathode Degradation: Oxygen Release that has the possibility to lead to exothermic reactions
- 2.) Completed DSC on microcells up to desired critical temperatures, extracted cathodes, performed XRD and SEM on cathodes



9 PROJECT RESULTS



-Heat flow for SSB and ASSB cases are extremely similar, with SSB slightly higher.

-Major exothermic peak for LIB at a significantly lower temperature. -More heat release than SSB & ASSB

10 **Project Results**



-SSB & ASSB exhibit degradation compared to pristine sample

-More binder in pristine image

-Next Steps: LIB at 550°C



PROJECT RESULTS

11

```
Layered Rock Salt MO_2 \rightarrow MO + O_2
```

Spinel Intermediates LiM_2O_4 and M_3O_4

Post-Major Exotherm

-LIB has started to degrade (60% spinel), but further release of oxygen is possible. If unreacted LE is still present, then an additional exothermic event is still possible.



PROJECT RESULTS

Layered Rock Salt $MO_2 \rightarrow MO + O_2$

ħ

Spinel Intermediates LiM_2O_4 and M_3O_4

Post-Major Exotherm

-SSB and ASSB: Very similar extent of degradation, with over 50% rock salt phase after peak heat flow. (Oxygen release)

SUMMARY 13

•

- SSB and ASSBs safer than LIB in some regards - exothermic failure occurs at lower temperature
- for LIB : potentially lower onset temperature to thermal runaway but SSB and ASSBs are not inherently safe - oxygen release from cathode degradation provides opportunity for exothermic events (DSC, XRD)

- ħ
- SSB and ASSBs safer than LIB in some regards exothermic failure occurs at lower temperature for LIB : potentially lower onset temperature to thermal runaway but SSB and ASSBs are not inherently safe - oxygen release from cathode degradation provides opportunity for exothermic events (DSC, XRD)
- Degradation of SSB and ASSB very similar suggests similar failure modes, and confirms an acceptable trade-off in performance and safety by adding liquid electrolyte (DSC, XRD, SEM)
 Presence of a small amount of liquid electrolyte does not significantly change thermal failure mechanisms in SSB.
 - $\circ~$ Increased design options \rightarrow Quicker Commercialization

5 SUMMARY

- SSB and ASSBs safer than LIB in some regards exothermic failure occurs at lower temperature for LIB : potentially lower onset temperature to thermal runaway but SSB and ASSBs are not inherently safe oxygen release from cathode degradation provides opportunity for exothermic events (DSC, XRD)
- Degradation of SSB and ASSB very similar suggests similar failure modes, and confirms an acceptable trade-off in performance and safety by adding liquid electrolyte (DSC, XRD, SEM)
 Presence of a small amount of liquid electrolyte does not significantly change thermal failure mechanisms.
 - $\circ~$ Increased design options \rightarrow Quicker Commercialization

Grid Energy Storage will benefit from a larger safe operating temperature window of SSBs, and the ability to predict with confidence the safety of next-generation energy storage battery chemistries.

16 **Project Team**



Megan Diaz Energy Storage Tech & Systems



Yuliya Preger Energy Storage Tech & Systems



Alex Bates Power Sources R&D



Loraine Torres-Castro Power Sources R&D



Nathan B. Johnson Power Sources R&D

PROJECT IMPACT: Publications and Presentations

Presentations

17

- A. Bates, J. Langendorf, J. Lamb, Y. Preger, L. Torres-Castro, M. Diaz "How Safe Are Solid-State Batteries? An Exploration of Heat Release" The Minerals, Metals & Materials Society (TMS) Annual Meeting & Exhibition, San Diego, CA, March 19-23, 2023.
- M. Diaz, A. Bates, Y. Preger, L. Torres-Castro, R. Shurtz "Investigation of Exothermic Reaction Pathways in Solid-State Batteries: Implications for Safety" Materials Research Society (MRS), San Francisco, CA, April 10-14, 2023.
- M. Diaz, A. Bates, Y. Preger, L. Torres-Castro, K. Harrison, S. Harris, J. Hewson "Are Solid-State Batteries Safer Than Lithium-ion Batteries?" DOE ESS Safety & Reliability Forum, Santa Fe, NM, June 6-8, 2023. (Poster)
- M. Diaz, A. Bates, Y. Preger, L. Torres-Castro, N. B. Johnson "Characterizing the Cathode Degradation Process from Thermal Abuse in Solid State Batteries" The Electrochemical Society (ECS), Gotenborg, Sweden, October 8-12, 2023 (Poster)

Publications

- "Characterizing the Cathode Degradation Process from Thermal Abuse in Solid State Batteries" (In preparation)
- "Consistency in Differential Scanning Calorimetry Testing of Li-ion Battery Materials Across Instruments and Institutions" (In preparation)

Previous Work

- A.M. Bates, Y. Preger, L. Torres-Castro, K.L. Harrison, S.J. Harris, J.C. Hewson, "Are solid-state batteries safer than lithium-ion batteries?", Joule, 6, 1-14, April 2022
- J. Lamb, L. Torres-Castro, J.C. Hewson, R.C. Shurtz, Y. Preger, "Investigating the role of energy density in thermal runaway of lithiumion batteries with accelerating rate calorimetry", Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 168, 2021.
- R.C. Shurtz, "Lithium-ion battery thermodynamic we calculator", https://www.sandia.gov/ess-ssl/thermodynamic-webcalculator/, 2021.
- R.C. Shurtz, J.C. Hewson, "Review-materials science predictions of thermal runaway in layered metal-oxide cathodes: a review of thermodynamics", Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 167, 2020.
- R.C. Shurtz, "A thermodynamic reassessment of lithium-ion battery cathode calorimetry", Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 167, 2020

PROJECT ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

18

Funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Electricity, Energy Storage program. **Dr. Imre Gyuk**, Program Director.

Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-mission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC., a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA-0003525.

For questions about this presentation: Megan Diaz Corresponding email: <u>mmdiaz@sandia.gov</u>

For further details on modeling and initial experiments, visit the poster "Are Solid-State Batteries Safer Than Lithium-ion Batteries?" - Alex Bates

19 Supplementary Slides

20 Thermal Modeling Cell Formats



ħ

Heat Flow from each Temperature Stopping Point







SE Mechanical Failure (ASSB)

Cracking of SE: ie. Car accident, SE too thin

SE integrity failure allows for oxygen from cathode to mix with Li-metal

penetration test

Dendritic hard short, nail

Hard short causes heat release

Thermal Runaway of adjacent battery

Oxygen generated from breakdown of cathode reacts with LE (& anode for LIB)