



Comparing Hydrogen Evolution Rates in Potassium Acetate and Potassium Hydroxide based Electrolytes for Zinc Aqueous Batteries

Debayon Dutta¹, Damon Turney¹, Timothy N. Lambert², Robert J. Messinger¹ and Sanjoy Banerjee¹ ¹ Dept. of Chemical Engineering, The City College of New York, New York, NY 10031

² Department of Photovoltaics and Materials Technology, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM.





SAND No: **SAND2023-10395C**

Abstract

Grid scale batteries need to be inexpensive to manufacture, safe to operate, and non-toxic in composition. Thus, aqueous-based zinc aqueous (alkaline) batteries are ideal candidates for this end-use, but good cycle life and utilization of the zinc has proven difficult partly because zinc is susceptible to H_2 gas evolution in aqueous electrolytes. Additionally, the energy density of the battery gets limited by the aqueous nature of the electrolyte, which has a narrow electrochemical stability window. Water-in-salt electrolyte (WiSE) can address this shortcoming by lowering the activity of free water molecules in solution, thus reducing H_2 gas evolution.

In this work, we show this extended stability window as well as reduced hydrogen gas evolution on a zinc electrode in various concentrated-acetate based electrolytes in comparison to 25% potassium hydroxide electrolyte solution.

Electrolyte (m)	Reductive limit (V vs. Zn)	Oxidative limit (V vs. Zn)	Electrochemical Window
5 m KOAc	-0.22	2.24	2.46
10 m KOAc	-0.24	2.27	2.51
15 m KOAc	-0.28	2.28	2.56
21 m KOAc	-0.26	2.31	2.57
24 m KOAc	-0.24	2.36	2.6
27 m KOAc	-0.28	2.36	2.64
25% КОН	0.13	1.96	1.83

Summary of ECSWs of acetate-based electrolytes compared to 25% KOH on SS 316 foil

Electrolyte Concentration (m)	Reductive limit (vs Zn)	Oxidative limit (vs Zn)	Electrochemical Window
5 m KOAc	-0.38	2.30	2.68
10 m KOAc	-0.35	2.31	2.66
15 m KOAc	-0.32	2.34	2.66
24 m KOAc	-0.27	2.43	2.70
27 m KOAc	-0.30	2.40	2.70
25% KOH	0.15	2.13	1.98



<u>Voltammetric curves for HER on a GC (left) and zinc (right) rotating-disk electrode</u> (RDE) in 25% KOH at 900, 1250 and 1500 rpm rotation rates

Not possible to decouple the effects of mass-transfer vs. kinetics for the HER in 25% KOH.

 H_2 Gassing Rates in 25% KOH H_2 Gassing Rates in 27 m KOAc

Electrochemical stability window (ECSW) on current collectors



<u>Voltage-time plots of electrochemical stability of various</u> <u>concentrations of acetate-based electrolytes (both dilute</u> <u>and concentrated) on gold (top left), stainless steel 316 (top</u> <u>right), nickel (bottom left) and cold-rolled steel (bottom</u> <u>right) substrates.</u> Summary of ECSWs of acetate-based electrolytes compared to 25% KOH on CRS foil

There is an obvious expansion in the electrochemical stability window when using acetate-based electrolytes over conventional, KOH-based electrolytes.



Voltage-time plots of electrochemical stability of 25% KOH (left) and 5 and 27 m KOAc (right) on titanium foil

The oxidative stability window of 25% KOH is remarkably improved on Ti foil. Additionally, no oxygen evolution is measured on Ti for all concentrations of acetate-based electrolytes!

HER: Gassing rates and kinetic parameters



/) /)	@ 900 rpm (mol/cm ^{2*} s) x 10 ⁻⁹	@ 1250 rpm (mol/cm ^{2*} s) x 10 ⁻⁹	@ 1500 rpm (mol/cm ^{2*} s) x 10 ⁻⁹		(mV)	@ 900 rpm (mol/cm ² *s) x 10 ⁻¹⁰	@ 1250 rpm (mol/cm ^{2*} s) x 10 ⁻¹⁰	@ 1500 rpm (mol/cm ²³ x 10 ⁻¹⁰
	1.11 ± 0.17	1.04 ± 0.01	1.18 ± 0.01		100	3.51 ± 0.55	4.43 ± 0.81	4.17 ± 0.49
0	2.36 ± 0.17	2.34 ± 0.01	2.33 ± 0.30		200	5.24 ± 0.51	7.05 ± 1.70	6.12 ± 0.53
0	4.29 ± 0.06	4.52 ± 0.21	4.56 ± 0.12		300	7.37 ± 0.29	9.58 ± 0.96	9.48 ± 0.64
400	10.2 ± 0.7	10.9 ± 0.6	11.2 ± 0.1		400	9.64 ± 0.10	12.1 ± 0.42	13.0 ± 0.95
500	29.3 ± 2.8	35.3 ± 3.5	32.8 ± 1.7		500	16.6 ± 1.09	18.1 ± 0.07	19.6 ± 0.90
	Glassy carb	on electrode		Reduced HER		Glassy carb	on electrode	
potential vs. Zn eq.	Average molar H2 rates @ 900 rpm (mol/cm ^{2*} s) x 10 ⁻⁶	Average molar H2 rates @ 1250 rpm (mol/cm ^{2*} s) x 10 ⁻⁶	Average molar H2 rates @ 1500 rpm (mol/cm ^{2*} s) x 10 ⁻⁶		Overpotential vs. Zn eq. (mV)	Average molar H2 rates @ 900 rpm (mol/cm ^{2*} s) x 10 ⁻¹⁰	Average molar H2 rates @ 1250 rpm (mol/cm ^{2*} s) x 10 ⁻¹⁰	Average molar H2 rate @ 1500 rpm (mol/cm x 10 ⁻¹⁰
0	1.87 ± 0.13	1.83 ± 0.01	1.94 ± 0.17		100	2.24 ± 0.38	2.59 ± 0.65	3.40 ± 0.86
	3 38 + 0 32	3.47 ± 0.47	3.74 ± 0.01		200	4.12 ± 0.59	5.37 ± 1.51	6.56 ± 1.18
)	5.56 1 0.52							
))	5.00 ± 0.59	5.17 ± 0.50	5.51 ± 0.30		300	7.71 ± 1.76	10.3 ± 2.7	11.2 ± 3.9
00 00 00	5.00 ± 0.59 8.66 ± 0.50	5.17 ± 0.50 8.96 ± 0.36	5.51 ± 0.30 10.5 ± 0.3		300 400	7.71 ± 1.76 20.0 ± 5.24	10.3 ± 2.7 29.9 ± 7.6	11.2 ± 3.9 31.6 ± 14.9
00 00 00 00	5.00 ± 0.59 8.66 ± 0.50 27.2 ± 2.7	5.17 ± 0.50 8.96 ± 0.36 28.2 ± 0.9	5.51 ± 0.30 10.5 ± 0.3 35.6 ± 1.2		300 400 500	7.71 ± 1.76 20.0 ± 5.24 79.3 ± 3.54	10.3 ± 2.7 29.9 ± 7.6 97.6 ± 11.4	11.2 ± 3.9 31.6 ± 14.9 107 ± 23

The results show up to 4 orders of magnitude decrease in gas generation on zinc in 27 m KOAc vs. 25% KOH.

Zinc corrosion in 25% KOH vs. WiSE





(right) after 1 month

Zinc foil placed in 27 m KOAc (left) and 25% KOH

Significant Hydrogen bubbles observed on zinc surface in 25% KOH!

Conclusions and Future Work

• The acetate-based "water-in-salt" electrolyte show tremendous promise as a low-cost, eco-friendly strategy to bolster the electrochemical stability of aqueous-based electrolytes, displaying the highest electrochemical window of 3.15 V on a gold surface.

Constant current at 1 mA/cm² were used for these experiments. The plateaus correspond to reactions where electrolyte is degrading to form hydrogen or oxygen.

Electrolyte (m)	Reductive limit (V vs. Zn)	Oxidative limit (V vs. Zn)	Electrochemical Window
5 m KOAc	-0.31	2.59	2.9
10 m KOAc	-0.33	2.62	2.95
15 m KOAc	-0.31	2.69	3.0
21 m KOAc	-0.36	2.73	3.09
24 m KOAc	-0.35	2.77	3.12
27 m KOAc	-0.34	2.81	3.15

<u>Summary of ECSWs of acetate-based electrolytes on Au</u> <u>foil. 25% KOH ECSW could not be measured due to its</u> <u>reactivity upon passage of current.</u>

The data shows a slight rise in the window as the concentration of potassium acetate in water is increased.

Electrolyte Concentration (m)	Reductive limit (vs Zn.)	Oxidative limit (vs Zn.)	Electrochemical Window
5 m KOAc	-0.20	2.37	2.57
10 m KOAc	-0.18	2.38	2.57
15 m KOAc	-0.27	2.40	2.67
21 m KOAc	-0.29	2.43	2.72
24 m KOAc	-0.26	2.42	2.69
27 m KOAc	-0.30	2 42	2.72

<u>Voltammetric curves for HER on a glassy carbon [GC] (top)</u> <u>and zinc (bottom) rotating-disk electrode (RDE) in 27 m KOAc</u> <u>at 900, 1250 and 1500 rpm rotation rates</u>

The HER is observed to be controlled by both mass-transfer and kinetic effects on a GC electrode except at overpotentials of 630-820 mV, where kinetics dominate, evidenced by no change in current in this potential range.



- The electrochemical stability of several WiSE solutions were measured on several practical current collector surfaces and compared with 25% KOH.
- The gassing rates of hydrogen evolution were compared in 27 m KOAc and KOH and show up to ~ 10000 times reduced H₂ generation.
- Zinc shows no signs of corrosion in the KOAc-WiSE when compared to 25% KOH after exposed for 1 month, which is advantageous for long-term storage of the zinc anode.
- Future work will focus on:
 - Zinc electroplating/stripping studies at realistic current densities
 - Finding strategies to reduce the viscosity of the WiSE electrolytes in order to improve ionic conductivity
 - Continued testing of cathode materials in these acetatebased electrolyte systems to achieve a higher discharge potential than manganese-dioxide based cathodes
 - Using non-aqueous solvents as supporting electrolytes
 - Hybrid KOH/acetate WiSE electrolytes

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Electricity. Dr. Imre Gyuk, Director of Energy Storage Research at the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Electricity, is thanked for his financial support of this project. This work was performed, in part, at the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies, an Office of Science User Facility operated for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science. The views expressed in this article do not necessarily represent the views of the U.S. Department of Energy or the United States Government. This article has been authored by an employee of National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC under Contract No. DE-NA0003525 with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). The National Technology & Engineering

