

**Exceptional service in the national interest** 

#### Model Predictive Control of Energy Storage Systems for Combined Energy Arbitrage and Voltage Regulation

#### Ujjwol Tamrakar, Tu A. Nguyen

2022 DOE Energy Storage Peer Review Presentation Number: 906



Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc. for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.



# **Project Objective and Methodology**

# Objective

Maximize technical and economic benefits from energy storage systems (ESSs) by <u>combining ancillary services and power quality applications</u> in a single framework

Methodology

Developed a <u>model predictive control (MPC)</u>-based optimal dispatch strategy to <u>combine energy arbitrage and voltage regulation applications</u>

#### **Outline of the Presentation**

Background

- Reactive Power Capability of Energy Storage Systems
- Model Predictive Control Framework
- Case Study
- Simulation Results and Analysis
- Outcomes and Future Work

#### Background

- ESSs have the potential to provide multiple unique services
  - Provides avenues for higher revenue streams
- ESSs can provide reactive power to grid on top of active power services
- A control framework is required to dispatch ESSs in real-time while maximizing benefits
  - Model predictive controls (MPCs) ideal for such applications



### **Reactive Power Capability of ESS's Inverter**

- Inverter of the ESS can be controlled to inject/absorb reactive power while providing real power (either during charging / discharging)
- Requirements:

- Oversizing of capacitor may be required to handle higher voltage ripples<sup>†</sup>
- Inverter oversizing NOT required but may be beneficial in some cases
- Will cause minimal battery degradation
- Minimal impact on state of charge
  - Except for small losses due to increased voltage and current ripple



*Source* R. H. Byrne, T. A. Nguyen, D. A. Copp, B. R. Chalamala and I. Gyuk, "Energy Management and Optimization Methods for Grid Energy Storage Systems," in IEEE Access, vol. 6, pp. 13231-13260, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2741578.

<sup>•</sup> *† S. Gonzalez, J. Stein, A. Fresquez, M. Ropp and D. Schutz, "Performance of utility interconnected photovoltaic inverters operating beyond typical modes of operation," 2013 IEEE 39th Photovoltaic Specialists Conference (PVSC), 2013, pp. 2879-2884, doi: 10.1109/PVSC.2013.6745071.* 

#### MPC for Combined Ancillary Services and Power Quality Applications

• Inputs:

- System measurements, forecasts, and real-time pricing data
- Outputs:
  - Optimal dispatch of active and reactive power
- Objective:
  - Maximize benefits from ancillary services
  - Either economic or technical
- Remaining inverter capability to provide power quality service
  - Minimal impact to benefit from ancillary service
  - May in fact provide opportunities for improved benefit



#### MPC Framework for Combined Energy Arbitrage and Voltage Regulation from Energy Storage

 $(p_k^d)^2 + (q_k^d)^2 \le (\bar{P})^2$ 

 $(p_k^c)^2 + (q_k^c)^2 \le (\bar{P})^2$ 



## Simulation Case Study: Energy Arbitrage and Voltage Regulation



#### PV Irradiance and Load Profile



- Proposed framework tested in IEEE 4-bus distribution network
- 1 MWp PV along with a time varying load at each node
- Pricing signal obtained from ISO-NE
- 2 MW, 4h energy storage placed at end of feeder for energy arbitrage
  - Inverter rating 2 MVA



#### **Energy Arbitrage without Voltage Regulation**

- MPC implemented such that the ESS only provides energy arbitrage
- No reactive power support for voltage regulation
- Voltage limit = 0.965 1.035 p.u.

Revenue = \$38.71 over two days

Limited due to voltage violations!

Can reactive power support from ESS help to provide voltage regulation and thus allow for better energy arbitrage revenue?



## **Energy Arbitrage with Voltage Regulation**

- Same inverter 2 MVA rated and same pricing signal
- <u>Reactive power support provided</u> <u>from ESS's inverter</u>

- Reactive power support maintains voltage at all nodes within limits
- Allows more charge and discharge opportunities

#### Revenue = \$292.28 over two days

Higher revenue from energy arbitrage!



#### **Energy Arbitrage <u>with</u> Voltage Regulation**



Inverter constraints are not violated when employing ESS for the combined applications

#### Impact of Prediction Horizon on Energy Arbitrage Revenue



• Higher prediction horizons provided improved benefits

- Computational cost increases with longer prediction horizons
  - More critical when implementing in larger distribution networks

# **Tighter Voltage Limits of ±2.5%**

- IEEE 4 Bus Test Case

- 2 MW, 4h energy storage
- Voltage limits: <u>0.975 1.025 pu</u>
- Voltage limits are violated
- However, MPC is working as expected
  - Predicted voltages are within limits
- Possible sources of error
  - P,Q dispatch commands are NOT exactly implemented by OpenDSS
  - Error in voltage prediction model
  - Error in sensitivity matrix used to predict voltages





#### **Outcomes and Next Steps**

# Outcomes

- Initial MPC formulation for voltage regulation was presented in an invited technical talk at IEEE Siouxland Section Speaking Event (Feb 2022)
- Journal paper which will generalize the formulation along with an example of EA and power factor correction example is under preparation

# Next Steps

- Test for larger distribution networks
- Demonstrate feasibility of this framework using real-time digital simulation and powerhardware-in-the-loop techniques



# THANK YOU!

Tu A. Nguyen Alvaro F. Bastos

**Contact Information**:

Ujjwol Tamrakar, Ph.D. Sandia National Laboratories utamrak@sandia.gov Funding provided by US DOE Energy Storage Program managed by **Dr. Imre Gyuk** of the DOE Office of Electricity.

