

Exceptional service in the national interest

Optimal Sizing and Operating of PV+LDES for Providing Base Load and QuESt Updates.

Tu A. Nguyen

2022 DOE Energy Storage Peer Review Presentation Number: 903

SAND1652724

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc. for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.



Content

2022 DOE Energy Storage Peer Review

- Project objective
- Background
- Configurations of PV+LDES
- Optimal sizing method
- Case studies
- QuESt updates
- Future work



- <u>Objective</u>: to optimal size and operate a PV+LDES power plant that provides a constant base load.
- <u>Methodology</u>: we investigated 2 configurations (including 1-Storage and 2-storage configurations) and developed an optimization to size and operate each configuration of a PV/Wind+ESS power plant.

Background



- Base load is typically 30-40% of peak load.
- Non-renewable base load plants: coal, nuclear.
- Renewable base load plants: hydro, geothermal, biogas.
- Solar and wind plants are not used for base load due to their variability and uncertainty.

Source: www.e-education.psu.edu/

Covering Base Load by PV/Wind+LDES



• Majority of energy will be provided by wind and PV in the future.

• Wind and PV power plants will also need to cover base load.

The Need for Long Duration Energy Storage



Image Credit: Form Energy



Long Duration Storage Shot seeks to achieve affordable long duration grid storage—for clean power anytime, anywhere.



Reduce storage costs by **90%** from a 2020 Li-ion baseline...



...in storage systems that deliver **10+** hours of duration



...in 1 decade

Configurations for PV+LDES Plants



• Generation and load are balanced in real time.



• Generation and load are decoupled using two ESSs that are alternatively charge and discharge.

Operations of PV+LDES Plants





- Operation mainly relies longterm and short-term forecasts.
- PV, wind, and LDES must be sized considering forecast errors

- All generated energy is stored in one ESS while the load is powered by the other ESS.
- PV, wind, and LDES must be sized considering the duration of charging/discharging periods.

Optimal Sizing Method for PV+LDES Plants



- Minimize total capital cost of PV/Wind and LDES
- Constraints:

- Base load must be met at a given probability considering forecast errors.
- SOC must be within an operating range
- Charge/discharge power must be within power rating of ESS



- Minimize total capital cost of PV/Wind and LDES
- Constraints:
 - Base load must be met by one of the two ESSs.
 - Renewable energy must be stored by the other ESS.
 - SOC of each ESS must be within an operating range.
 - Charge power must be less than power rating of the charger.
 - Discharge power must be less than power rating of the inverter

Case Studies



James H. Miller, Jr. Electric Generating Plant Total nameplate generating capacity - 2,640 MW Most polluted plant in the U.S.

- Optimal sizing PV+LDES for replacing James H. Miller, Jr. Electric Generating Plant in Alabama.
- Investigate both 1-Storage and 2-Storage configurations.
- Consider errors of D-1 forecast.

Case Studies – 1-Storage Configuration - Results PV, ESS Sizes



1-storage configuration 2,500,000 800,000 [>]ower Rating, MW 2,000,000 600,000 1,500,000 Energy Rating, 400,000 1,000,000 200,000 500.000 0.8 0.82 0.84 0.86 0.88 0.9 0.92 0.94 0.96 0.98 Reliability ESS-MW ---- PV-MW - ESS-MWh





• Assumptions:

- Storage efficiency = 85%.
- ESS cost: \$350k/MW and \$350k/MWh
- PV cost: \$1.2M/MW

Case Studies – 2–Storage Configuration - Results



Operating Profile 2-Storage Configuration 45.000.00 140,000.00 40.000.00 120,000.00 35.000.00 100,000.00 \geq 30,000.00 80,000.00 25,000.00 Power 20,000.00 60,000.00 15.000.00 40,000.00 10,000.00 20,000.00 5,000.00 $\begin{smallmatrix} & -1 \\ & -2$ Hour ---- Pcut, MW ----- Pcha1, MW Ppv, MW

• Assumptions:

- Storage efficiency = 85%.
- ESS cost: \$350k/MW and \$350k/MWh
- PV cost: \$1.2M/MW
- Two ESSs alternatively switching every 24hr

- Results:
 - Total storage =261,313 MWh

– Pdis1, MW – Pcha2, MW – Pdis2, MW

- ESS MW rating = 2,640 MW
- PV MW rating: 54,726 MW
- Total cost: \$158,055,158,214
- Reliability is 100%

5

State



Key Takeaways

- Using current cost scenario, PV+LDES can cover base load at a huge cost. In order to make it economically possible, cost of both PV and LDES must be significantly lower.
- In 1-storage case, the cost is exponential to the reliability level. PV and LDES sizes depend very much on forecast accuracy.
- In 2-storage case, energy is absorbed before being dispatched. Therefore, forecast is not needed and the reliability is theoretically 100%.
- At a similar cost, 2-storage configuration can provide much higher reliability.
- Same methodology can be applied for Wind+LDES

QuESt - Updates



Updates in ver 1.6:

•QuESt Technology Selection –

Support storage technology selection given applications and other requirements

• QuESt Performance – Evaluate energy storage system performance in different climates

Updates in ver 1.7:

• **QuESt Equity** – Size PV+ESS to replace a power plant and evaluate the environmental impact.

 Current version 1.6 available on GitHub: <u>https://github.com/snl-quest/snl-quest</u>

Version 1.7 beta is under testing.

QuESt – Future Work



Acknowledgements

Contact Information:

Tu Nguyen, Ph.D. Sandia National Laboratories utamrak@sandia.gov Funding provided by US DOE Energy Storage Program managed by **Dr. Imre Gyuk** of the DOE Office of Electricity.

