

# Iron-and Bipyridine-based Charge Carriers for Non-Aqueous Flow Batteries





### PRESENTED BY

Travis M. Anderson, Sandia National Laboratories, Presentation ID#605

#### CO-AUTHORED BY

Claudina X. Kolesnichenko and Cassandria E. Poirier

### SAND2022-12910 C



Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525. **Project Goal:** Build a better flow battery\* by targeting (1) Energy Density (2) Materials Cost (3) Mechanisms of Capacity Fade



 $ED_{MCC} = \frac{1}{2}2F2.2_{cell}0.2_{active} = 0.4F$ 

**Symmetric** all-iron battery minimizes issues with crossover and utilizes *non-innocent* ligands.

(1) Can we optimize  $Fe(bpy)_3(BF_4)_2$ ? (2) Can we chemically modify it to achieve a wider voltage window (ligand) or higher solubility (symmetry)?

### **Next Generation**

$$ED_{MCC} = \frac{1}{2} F_{2.6} = 2.6F$$

(1) Mun, Lee, Park, Oh, Lee, & Doo, *Electrochem*. *Solid-State Lett.*, **2012**, *6*, A80-A82 (2) Mn, Oh, Park, Kwon, Kim, Jeong, Kim, & Lee, J. *Electrochem*. *Soc.*, **2018**, *165*, A215-A219 (3) Hogue & Toghill, *Curr. Op. Electrochem*., **2019**, *18*, 37-45.





# <sup>3</sup> $Fe(bpy)_3(BF_4)_2$ Optimization

- Test materials and parameters
  - Membranes: Fumasep FAP-450 anion exchange membrane
  - Solvents: propylene carbonate and acetonitrile
  - Electrolyte Salts: TEA-BF<sub>4</sub>, TBA-OTf, TBA-TFSI, TBA-BF<sub>4</sub>, and TBA-PF<sub>6</sub>
  - 0.5 M electrolyte salt + 0.2 M Fe(Bipy)<sub>3</sub>(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>
  - Argon glovebox
  - 20 cycles at  $\pm 10$  mA cm<sup>-2</sup>



# <sup>4</sup> Fe(bpy)<sub>3</sub>(BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> Optimization

Varied supporting electrolyte to observe performance

- TEA<sup>+</sup> is superior to TBA<sup>+</sup>
- BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> is a superior anion
- Little crossover observed, but a common by-product forms upon cycling
- Found a relationship between capacity fade and by-product formation
- Negolyte ligand shedding, crossover,  $BF_4^-$  hydrolysis, followed by nucleophilic attack
- I5-20% improvement in performance when extra drying measures and higher purity precursors were used







Synthesis of the Substituted Bipyridine Ligands

$$Fe(BF_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$$

5

2 BF<sub>4</sub>

3 R-bpy

methanol

 $Fe(bpyR)_3(BF_4)_2$ 

- High yields using earth abundant precursors
- Purity was confirmed by proton NMR (also provides information about structural integrity during battery cycling)
- Electron Withdrawing Groups (EWGs) shift resonances downfield as expected (and vice-versa Electron **Donating Groups (EDGs)** shift resonances upfield)
- UV-Vis data suggest the bpy ligands maintain their highly • conjugated electronic structure (required for the voltage separation needed for the symmetric RFB)



# Ligand Effects on Redox Potentials



|  | Fe <sup>3+/2+</sup> E <sub>1/2</sub> (V) | Fe <sup>2+/+</sup> E <sub>1/2</sub> (V) | ΔΕ <sub>1/2</sub> (V) |
|--|--|---|-----------------------|
| $Fe(bpyCF_3)_3(BF_4)_2$                                | 1.65                                     | -0.63                                   | 2.28                  |
| $Fe(bpyCO_2Me)_3(BF_4)_2$                              | 1.53                                     | -0.68                                   | 2.21                  |
| $Fe(bpyBr)_3(BF_4)_2$                                  | 1.43                                     | -                                       | -                     |
| $Fe(bpy)_3(BF_4)_2$                                    | 1.25                                     | -1.12                                   | 2.37                  |
| $Fe(bpy^tBu)_3(BF_4)_2$                                | 1.09                                     | -1.19                                   | 2.28                  |
| Fe(bpyMe) <sub>3</sub> (BF <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> | 1.07                                     | -1.25                                   | 2.32                  |
| $Fe(bpyOMe)_3(BF_4)_2$                                 | 0.94                                     | 1.27                                    | 2.21                  |
| $Fe(bpyNH_2)_3(BF_4)_2$                                | 0.43                                     | -                                       | -                     |
|  | لے                                       | لے                                      | └┰┙                   |
|  | Fe(II)/(III)                             | ligand                                  | voltage<br>gap        |
| E = E = E = C = C = C = C = C = C = C =                |  |   |                       |

- EWGs shifted positively by up to 0.4 V
- EDGs shifted negatively by up to 0.8V
- Fe(II) and ligand-centered redox shifted together

# 7 Substituted $Fe(bpyR)_3(BF_4)_2$ in Symmetric RFBs

- Analyzed the effect substituents on bpy have on cycling in a RFB
- The most EWG and EDG were compared to the unsubstituted Fe(bpy)<sub>3</sub>
- The unsubstituted showed greatest cycling stability, followed by the EDG (-OMe), then the EWG (-CF<sub>3</sub>)
  - Capacity fade (& electrochemical yield inset)
  - Negolyte degradation due to ligand shedding



The **negolyte is unstable**. How can we fix it? Pseudo-symmetric flow batteries!

#### Why Pseudo-Symmetric? 8

- More stable under highly reducing conditions Ι.
- Coordinatively unsaturated complex can catalyze unwanted reactions 2.
- 3. Free ligand is more tunable (synthetically)
- Improve atom economy 4.



### First Generation Pseudo-Symmetric Flow Cell Studies

9



No discharge!

C. X. Kolesnichenko et al. ChemElectroChem, 2022, e202101490.

## 10 Second Generation Pseudo-Symmetric Flow Cell Studies



The methylated, OMe derivative showed **improved cycling** over first generation but poor material utilization.

### 11 Third Generation Pseudo-Symmetric Flow Cell Studies

The methylated, CO<sub>2</sub> derivative showed an **18% improvement** of material utilization over second generation.



# 12 Conclusions

- We have identified (and mitigated) the mechanism for capacity fade in the symmetric iron-bipyridine flow battery.
- We have determined that chemical substitutions can be made in MCCs to increase voltage, but this can decrease the overall stability of the system.
- We have determined that this stability is primarily centered around the negolyte and identified (and executed) a path forward involving a pseudo-symmetric system.
- The negolytes of pseudo-symmetric flow batteries can be stabilized with chemical modifications.



#### **Related Sandia and External Projects** 13



3X solubility enhancement compared to Fe(Pylm) complex (First Gen LANL's FeL

No noticeable degradation in CV of [FePyTRENMe][OTf]<sub>3</sub> after 50 cycles Future work will evaluate cycling two negative

waves to generate 1.67 V cell voltage,

Systematic approach to select suitable solvents

The reaction kinetics can be increased using solvents with low permittivity coefficients.

to be dependent on the polarity of the solvent

Separation of the redox couples was determined

9/23/2022

increasing the energy density > 25%.

for non-aqueous redox flow batteries.

High donor solvents undergo unique degradation pathway at positive potentials

iated with V(III)/V(IV) species

complex).

THIS WORK WAS SUPPORTED THROUGH THE ENERGY STORAGE PROGRAM, MANAGED BY DR. IMRE GYUK, IN THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY'S OFFICE OF ELECTRICITY.

Travis Anderson, PI

Sandia National Laboratories

tmander@sandia.gov

505-844-4766