SAND2007-2182P

Extreme States of Matter and First-Principles Simulations

Sandia Journal Club Seminar

April 11, 2007

Thomas R. Mattsson HEDP Theory (1641)



High energy-density physics (HEDP) experiments at the Z accelerator





- DFT simulations are used to develop wide-range materials models:
 - Radiation-hydrodynamics finite-element simulations are routinely performed to model experiments:
 - Common to all simulations of HEDP systems is the need for material models
 - DFT based materials models are in daily use
 - Defects in material models can never be removed at higher levels (rad-hydro algorithms, massive parallelization, finite-element meshing, etc.)
- Direct DFT simulations are made to study the material response under shock conditions:
 - deuterium, aluminum, beryllium, carbon (diamonds)
- Experiments are costly and involved -- firstprinciples simulations have had a direct impact on our program.

ICF target in double-ended Z pinch



Magnetically launched flyer plates



Extreme states of matter





Main regions of the phase-diagram





Mature fields with well-developed models describing the physics in each respective area





A need for first-principles modeling, obtaining properties where little exp. data is available Path-Integral Monte Carlo (PIMC)



H: Militzer, Ceperley, PRL **85**, 1890 (2000). He: Militzer, PRL **97**, 175501 (October 2006).

Density Functional Theory (DFT)

H: Desjarlais, PRB 68, 64204 (2003);
Bonev, Militzer, Galli, PRB 68, 14101 (2004);
Bonev, et al. Nature 431, 669 (2004).
H2O: Cavazzoni, et al., Science 283, 44 (1999);
Mattsson, Desjarlais, PRL 97, 17801 (July 2006).
He: Kietzmann et al. (MPD,TRM), PRL (submitted).

Al: Desjarlais, et al, PRE 66, 25401 (2002).
Cu: Clerouin et al. (MPD), PRB 71, 64203 (2005).
Au: Mazevet el al., PRL 95, 85002 (2005).
Steel: Desjarlais, Mattsson, PRE (submitted).

MPD = Mike Desjarlais TRM = Thomas Mattsson



Density Functional Theory (DFT) is a formally exact reformulation of the Schrodinger equation.

- Wave-function formulation
 - N electrons
 - $\Box \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3, ..., \mathbf{r}_N)$
 - $H \Psi = E \Psi$
 - 3 N dimensional space
- Density Functional Theory^{1, 2}
 - Equations formulated in terms of the electron density n(r).
 - **r** is position in 3 dim space $\mathbf{r} = (x,y,z)$.
 - One term in the equations is unknown/approximated, the exchangecorrelation energy. Sets the accuracy.
 - LDA, PBE, AM05, EXX, etc.
 - After this choice remaining parameters are technical. *Sets the precision*.
- VASP-code³
 - Plane-wave, periodic code
 - PAW potentials yields complete wave-functions





Properties of shocked water is of direct interest to Sandia as well as of general scientific interest.



- Water switches are key components in the Z-accelerator.
- Water tampers at exploding wire experiments.
- Shock-waves in water.
- Planetary interiors.



Water at 300 K and 1 g/cm³; 10 ns later: \times 50 density (0.05 to 2.5 g/cm³). \times 100 temperature (2500 to 300 000 K). Demanding range of conditions.

5

0



t [ns]

10

Wide-range picture of conduction in water from DFT simulations

Ionic and electronic conductivity



Full lines -- electronic conduction. Dashed lines -- ionic conduction.

• 2000 K

- Ionic conduction H, H₃O, OH.
- Gap in electronic structure, no electronic component to conductivity.

• 4000 K

- Electronic component of conductivity similar to ionic.
- Transition into superionic phase (with gap) at higher density.
- 6000 K
 - Electronic component begins to dominate conductivity.
- 22 000 K and above.
 - Fully dissociated into H, O ions with significant ionization / free electrons.

T.R. Mattsson and M.P. Desjarlais, Physical Review Letters **97**, 017801 (2006).



Revision of the phase-diagram of HEDP water

DC electronic conductivity of water



The two DFT simulations differ in one major way, the use of temperature for band occupation.

Superionic phase

Cavazzoni et. al. (Science **283**, 44 (1999)) O atoms frozen into a lattice OK OK Highly mobile H atoms Gap in electronic structure OK Bordering to an ionic liquid, with gap NO Gap closure 7000 K, 300 GPa NO Cavazzoni, et. al. (Science 283, 44 (1999)). Metallic gap dosun T (10³ K) Superionic 2 Melting exp. 200 300 100 P (GPa)



The effect of including thermal occupation of electronic degrees of freedom

Fermi occupation of bands

 f_i -- occupation of band i. ϵ_i -- energy of band i.

HO -- highest occupied (0 K) LU -- lowest unoccupied (0 K) $\Delta E = \varepsilon_{LU} - \varepsilon_{HO}$ (eigenvalue gap).



	n	ho	$T_{\rm ion}$	T_{e}	$\log_{10}(\sigma)$	$f_{\rm HO}$	$f_{\rm LU}$	ΔE
(54	2.5	4000	1000	0.7	1.98	0.03	1.53
	54	2.5	4000	2000	1.2	1.97	0.04	1.74
	54	2.5	4000	4000	3.84	1.63	1.25	0.38
	128	2.5	4000	4000	3.78	1.63	1.36	0.26
	128	2.5	4000	1000	1.0	1.96	0.05	1.01
	54	3.0	6000	6000	4.5	1.43	1.25	0.22
	54	2.7	8000	8000	5.0	1.28	1.18	0.14



Using Finite Temperature is necessary for the HEDP area.

Not used as a numerical technique.



Phase-diagram of HEDP water -- revised





- Direct transition from superionic to conducting fluid at 100 GPa, 4000 K.
- Electronic conduction over a large region previously described as insulating.
- Metallic conductivity at lower T/P.
- Superionic phase boundary at higher pressure (100 GPa at 2000 K).

Revision of a large region of the phasediagram, including a significant range of the Neptune isentrope.

Full consequences will have to be determined by planetary researchers.



Mattsson and Desjarlais, PRL 97, 017801 (2006).

How can we rely on FT-DFT at conditions relevant for HEDP?



Path-Integral Monte Carlo (PIMC)

H: Militzer, Ceperley, PRL 85, 1890 (2000).He: Militzer, PRL 97, 175501 (October 2006).

Solving the Schrodinger equation directly

First-principles method
Still involves approximations
"sign problem" of fermions

Computationally highly demanding

H, He, (few electrons)

Independent from DFT
Provides an important second opinion.



PIMC is a first-principles method in density matrixformulation of quantum mechanics

$$\Psi_{\rm GS}(\mathbf{R}) = \begin{vmatrix} \Phi_1(\mathbf{r}_1) & \dots & \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}_1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \Phi_1(\mathbf{r}_N) & \dots & \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}_N) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Wave-function repr.

Thermal density-matrix representation

$$\rho(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}';\beta) = \langle \mathbf{R} e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}} \mathbf{R}' \rangle = \sum_{s} e^{-\beta E_{s}} \Psi_{s}(\mathbf{R}) \Psi_{s}(\mathbf{R}')$$

at finite temperature ($\beta \!=\! 1/k_{\rm B} T$)

Expectation values in density-matrix repr.

$$\langle \mathbf{O} \rangle = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}[\mathbf{O}\rho]}{\mathrm{Tr}[\rho]}.$$



PIMC reduces the calculation to small steps in temperature, or "imaginary time" τ

Quantum operators can be multiplied $e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}} = (e^{-\tau \mathcal{H}})^M$ $\tau = \beta/M \rightarrow 1/(k_B T M)$

 $\label{eq:tau} \textbf{Free particle limit } \tau \textbf{=} \textbf{0}: \qquad \quad \tau = \beta/M \rightarrow \textbf{0}$

 $\rho(\mathbf{R},\mathbf{R}';\beta) \rightarrow \exp[-(\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{R}')^2/4\lambda\beta]/(4\pi\lambda\beta)^{3N/2}$

Large M is equivalent to the high-temperature limit ($\beta \rightarrow 0$)

PIMC becomes more efficient at high temperature.

David M. Ceperley, Rev. Mod. Phys. 67, 279 (1995).



PIMC results for He compares very well to finitetemperature DFT calculations

Rankine-Hugoniot condition for a single shock relates change in pressure, volume and energy.

$$H = E - E_0 + \frac{1}{2} (V - V_0)(p + p_0) = 0.$$



 E_0, V_0, P_0

Shock wave

E,V,P

•PIMC approaches ideal plasma at 10⁶ K •FT-DFT approaches PIMC results.

•Deviations from ground state DFT (Car-Parrinello) as temperature increases.

•Classical MC results deviate too, no electronic degrees of freedom.

B. Militzer Phys. Rev. Lett **97**, 175501 (October 2006).



Available (few) experimental results agree with finite-temperature DFT





•Shock experiments probe only certain regions of the phase-diagram.

•FT-DFT captures both first- and second shock data.

•Simulations can go outside the area where experimental data is available -perhaps even impossible to obtain.

•Note that PIMC is not even on this plot.

Sandia National Laboratories

B. Militzer Phys. Rev. Lett **97**, 175501 (October 2006).

DFT has had an enabling impact on pulsed power programs at Sandia



- Predictive modeling of flyer-plate experiments after DFT simulations of Al electrical conductivity and development of wide-range materials models.
- Direct DFT simulations strongly supporting deuterium shock Hugoniot measurements on Z.
- Developed high-fidelity materials models for Army Research Laboratory (ARL).
- Direct DFT simulations predicting shock melting of Be and C for National Ignition Campaign. Steered experiments and reduced the number of required shots (cost per shot: +\$ 100 000).
- Improved wire-explosion simulations with DFT steel conductivity data.





Jupiter: H and He

Neptune: H2O, He, H

- Direct DFT simulations of compressed deuterium.
- Improved our understanding of water at conditions directly applicable to modeling conditions in planets.
- Simulations of He at conditions of importance to giant planets.



First-principles simulations are key to improving our understanding of HEDP

• Summary

- PIMC and DFT are complementary methods to model physics in the HEDP region.
- Importance of finite temperature method when using DFT.

• Enabling impact on Sandia's mission

- Several DFT based materials models are in *daily use* at SNL (Al, Cu, steel, W).
- Direct DFT simulations helps design and analyze experiments (shock melting).

• Crucial dimension of HEDP modeling

Simulations are never better than the underlying physics models.



54 water molecules at 4000 K

Acknowledgment

Mike Desjarlais (1640) -- pioneered DFT in the HEDP area

Tom Mehlhorn (1640) -- has strongly supported our work



General structure of giant planets : three layer model



Conductivity in water -- electronic conduction from the Kubo-Greenwood formula.

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) = \frac{2\pi e^2 \hbar^2}{3m^2 \omega \Omega} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(F(\varepsilon_{i,\mathbf{k}}) - F(\varepsilon_{j,\mathbf{k}}) \right) \left| \left\langle \Psi_{j,\mathbf{k}} \left| \nabla_{\alpha} \left| \Psi_{i,\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle \right|^2 \delta(\varepsilon_{j,\mathbf{k}} - \varepsilon_{i,\mathbf{k}} - \hbar \omega),$$

Fermi weights

Energy conservation

Sum over bands

Matrix element

• Wave-function based¹

- Kubo-Greenwood (KG) formula yields the conductivity directly from wave-functions
- Neither cross-sections nor relaxation times required

• Range in this work:

- 0.1 3.3 g/cm³
- 4000 70 000 K.

First application of K-G DFT to HEDP area:

⁴Desjarlais, Kress, and Collins PRE **66**, R025401 (2002).





Conductivity in water -- proton conduction from QMD simulations of proton diffusion.

Classical Kubo expression for proton conductivity:

$$\sigma = \frac{ne^2}{m} \int_0^\infty \frac{\langle v(\tau)v(0) \rangle}{\langle v(0)v(0) \rangle} d\tau$$

• Valid when all protons are free/equivalent.

Reduction due to H₂O diffusion

$$D_{H} = (1 - \gamma)D_{H*} + \gamma D_{O}$$
$$D_{H*} = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \left(1 - \gamma \frac{D_{O}}{D_{H}}\right)D_{H}$$

 γ -- fraction H atoms bound as H₂O. D_H -- diffusion ALL H atoms. D_{H*} -- diffusion all H species but H₂O.



Full dissociation, all protons contribute.

