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## A Motivating Example

## ${ }_{3}$ Rocket Dynamics

From the conservation of momentum,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d p}{d t} & \approx \frac{\{(m-|\Delta m|)(u+\Delta u)+|\Delta m|(u-k)\}-m u}{\Delta t} \\
& =\sum F=-m g \\
\Longrightarrow & -m \frac{d u}{d t}=k \frac{d m}{d t}+m g . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we take $g$ and the exhaust speed $k$ to be constants but

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d m}{d t}=-z<0, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=z(t)$ is a control of our choosing.
We want to solve the fuel efficiency problem


We discretize the fuel efficiency problem into a nonlinear program (NLP).



So why ROL?

## 5 Numerics

| Compo <br> iter | site-step tr fval | -region solver cnorm | gLnorm | snorm | delta | nnorm | tnorm | \#fval | \#grad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $5.333333 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $2.027966 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $2.666783 \mathrm{e}+00$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $5.223834 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $2.933645 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $3.555940 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.000000 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $2.00 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $1.13 \mathrm{e}-14$ | $1.00 \mathrm{e}+02$ | 3 | 3 |
| 2 | $5.074484 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $3.977936 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $5.320566 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $2.000000 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $2.00 \mathrm{e}+02$ | 1.06e-01 | $2.00 \mathrm{e}+02$ | 5 | 5 |
| 3 | $4.936750 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $1.929162 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $6.883693 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.657243 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $1.16 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $1.61 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.66 \mathrm{e}+02$ | 7 | 7 |
| 47 | $4.426957 e+03$ | $1.813330 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $9.328418 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.898613 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.16 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $7.35 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $2.90 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 95 | 95 |
| 48 | $4.426934 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $6.805572 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $4.641692 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $1.479816 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.16 \mathrm{e}+03$ | 1.10e-05 | $1.48 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 97 | 97 |
| 49 | $4.426917 e+03$ | 1.176645e-04 | $7.690407 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.328988 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.16 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $4.24 e-06$ | $2.33 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 99 | 99 |
| 50 | $4.426902 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $4.457843 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $3.584340 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $1.192131 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.16 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $7.13 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $1.19 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 101 | 101 |

Composite-step trust-region solver

| iter | fval | cnorm | gLnorm | snorm | delta | nnorm | tnorm | \#fval | \#grad | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $5.333333 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $1.570856 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $1.803732 \mathrm{e}+02$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $4.976505 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $7.464298 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.380737 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $2.175210 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $1.00 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $3.03 \mathrm{e}-15$ | $2.18 \mathrm{e}+01$ | 3 | 3 |  |
| 2 | $5.252000 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $2.467093 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.549998 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $2.755372 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $2.75 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $5.33 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 5 | 5 |  |
| 3 | $4.473015 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $7.617080 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.595459 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $7.041189 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $1.23 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $7.04 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 7 | 7 |  |
| 4 | $4.428484 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $2.072535 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $3.485754 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.936220 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.00 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $3.08 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $1.91 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 9 | 9 | 1 |
| 5 | $4.426855 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $3.830153 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $7.137584 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $8.183971 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $1.00 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $8.98 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $8.13 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 11 | 11 |  |
| 6 | $4.426841 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $1.090076 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $6.769629 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $4.490118 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $1.00 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $1.87 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $4.49 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 13 | 13 | 15 |
| 7 | $4.426840 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $8.296731 \mathrm{e}-12$ | $5.966856 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.035859 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.00 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $4.58 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $1.03 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 15 | 15 | 17 |
| 8 | $4.426840 \mathrm{e}+03$ | $3.307995 \mathrm{e}-13$ | $3.785700 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $1.927025 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.00 \mathrm{e}+02$ | $2.37 \mathrm{e}-11$ | $1.93 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 17 | 17 |  |

Optimization Terminated with Status: Converged

## 6 Custom Linear Algebra - A Feature of ROL

ROL makes it easy to tailor inner products to problems.

For example, we can think of our control $z$ as an element of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with the inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{0}^{T} f(t) g(t) d t
$$

The discretized analogue of $\mathcal{H}$ is a finite-dimensional space whose inner product is weighted by a quadrature matrix $W$ - i.e., $\langle f, g\rangle=f^{\prime} W g$.

A gradient with respect to a vector in the finite-dimensional space will be a function of $W$.

[^0] Method in the Context of Solving PDEs. SIAM, 2014.
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left|J(x+h)-J(x)-\left\langle\left.\nabla J\right|_{x}, h\right\rangle\right|}{h}=0 \\
& \left.\Longrightarrow \nabla J\right|_{x}=\left.W^{-1} \nabla_{E} J\right|_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]



Trilinos package for large-scale optimization. Uses: optimal design, optimal control and inverse problems in engineering applications; mesh optimization; image processing.

## RgL

RAPID OPTIMIZATION LIBRARY
Numerical optimization made practical:
Any application, any hardware, any problem size.

■ Modern optimization algorithms.

- Maximum HPC hardware utilization.
- Special programming interfaces for simulation-based optimization.
- Optimization under uncertainty.

■ Hardened, production-ready algorithms for unconstrained, equality-constrained, inequality-constrained and nonsmooth optimization.
■ Novel algorithms for optimization under uncertainty and risk-averse optimization.
■ Unique capabilities for optimization-guided inexact and adaptive computations.

- Geared toward maximizing HPC hardware utilization through direct use of application data structures, memory spaces, linear solvers and nonlinear solvers.
- Special interfaces for engineering applications, for streamlined and efficient use.

■ Rigorous implementation verification: finite difference and linear algebra checks.
■ Hierarchical and custom (user-defined) algorithms and stopping criteria.


Formalism and Algorithms

## ॰ Mathematical Formalism

ROL solves (smooth) nonlinear optimization problems numerically

$$
\underset{x}{\operatorname{minimize}} J(x) \text { subject to }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c(x)=0  \tag{G}\\
\ell \leq x \leq u \\
A x=b
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, $x$ belongs to a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$ and

$$
J: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad c: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, \quad \text { and } \quad A: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are Banach spaces as well.
All three of these maps are Fréchet differentiable. In addition, $A$ is linear.
The bounds $\ell \leq x \leq u$ apply pointwise.

## Type U

"Unconstrained"
$\underset{x}{\operatorname{minimize}} J(x)$
subject to $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \\ A x=b\end{array}\right.$

Methods:

- trust region and line search globalization
- gradient descent, quasi and inexact Newton, nonlinear conjugate gradient.

Type B
"Bound Constrained"
$\underset{x}{\operatorname{minimize}} J(x)$
subject to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\ell \leq x \leq u \\ A x=b\end{array}\right.$

Methods:

- projected gradient and projected Newton, primal-dual active set.


## Type E

"Equality Constrained"
$\underset{x}{\operatorname{minimize}} J(x)$
subject to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}c(x)=0 \\ A x=b\end{array}\right.$

Methods:

- composite step SQP and ...


## Type G

"General Constraints"
$\underset{x}{\operatorname{minimize}} J(x)$
subject to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}c(x)=0 \\ \ell \leq x \leq u \\ A x=b\end{array}\right.$

Methods:

- augmented Lagrangian, interior point, Moreau-Yosida, stabilized LCL.

API

12 ROL::Objective
$\underset{x}{\operatorname{minimize}} J(x)$ subject to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}c(x)=0 \\ \ell \leq x \leq u \\ A x=b\end{array}\right.$

## Member Functions

■ value $-J(x)$

- gradient $-g=\nabla J(x)$
- hessVec $-H v=\left[\nabla^{2} J(x)\right] v$

■ update - modify member data

- invHessVec $-H^{-1} v=\left[\nabla^{2} J(x)\right]^{-1} v$
- precond - approximate $H^{-1} v$
- dirDeriv $-\left.\frac{d}{d t} J(x+t v)\right|_{t=0}$ ( pure virtual virtual optional )

■ We do not need to specify linear operators with matrices - their action on vectors is enough.

■ ROL works best with analytic derivatives. Without them, ROL defaults to finite difference approximations.

■ Tools: checkGradient, checkHessVec, checkHessSym.

13 ROL::Objective
$\underset{x}{\operatorname{minimize}} J(x)$ subject to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}c(x)=0 \\ \ell \leq x \leq u \\ A x=b\end{array}\right.$

## Member Functions

- value - $J(x)$
- gradient $-g=\nabla J(x)$
- hessVec - $H v=\left[\nabla^{2} J(x)\right] v$
- update - modify member data
- invHessVec - $H^{-1} v=\left[\nabla^{2} J(x)\right]^{-1} v$
- precond - approximate $H^{-1} v$
- dirDeriv $-\left.\frac{d}{d t} J(x+t v)\right|_{t=0}$

$$
J(u, z)=\|z\|_{L^{2}(0, T)}^{2}+\lambda\left|y^{*}-\int_{0}^{T} u(t) d t\right|^{2}
$$

public:

Objective(double targetHeight_, double lambda_, const std::vector<double>\& $W_{-}$,) :
targetHeight(targetHeight_), lambda(lambda_), w(w_) \{

N = w.size();
\}
double value(const ROL: :Vector<double>\& $x$, double\& tol) \{
const std::vector<double>\& z = getControl(x);
const std::vector<double>\& $u=$ getState $(x)$;
int i;
double zIntegral $=0$;
for ( $i=0$; $i<N$; $++i$ )
$z$ Integral $+=w[i] * z[i] * z[i]$;
double uIntegral $=0$;
for ( $i=0$; $i<N$; ++i)
uIntegral += w[i]*u[i];
return zIntegral + lambda*std::pow(uIntegral - targetHeight, 2); \}
( pure virtual virtual optional )

14 ROL: :Constraint
$\underset{x}{\operatorname{minimize}} J(x)$ subject to $\left\{\begin{array}{l}c(x)=0 \\ \ell \leq x \leq u \\ A x=b\end{array}\right.$

## Member Functions

- value - $C(x)$
- applyJacobian - $\left[C^{\prime}(x)\right] v$

■ applyAdjointJacobian $-\left[c^{\prime}(x)\right]^{*} v$

- applyAdjointHessian $-\left[c^{\prime \prime}(x)\right](v, \cdot)^{*} u$
- update - modify member data

■ applyPreconditioner
■ solveAugmentedSystem

$$
\frac{d u}{d t}+k \frac{d \log m}{d t}+g=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d m}{d t}=-z
$$

ROL: : BoundConstraint implements $\ell \leq x \leq u$.

```
```

class RocketConstraint : public ROL::Constraint<double>

```
```

class RocketConstraint : public ROL::Constraint<double>
{
private:
private:
void computeMass(const std::vector<double>\& z)
void computeMass(const std::vector<double>\& z)
{
{
mass[0] = initialMass - dt*z[0];
mass[0] = initialMass - dt*z[0];
for (int i = 1; i < N; ++i)
for (int i = 1; i < N; ++i)
mass[i] = mass[i - 1] - dt*z[i];
mass[i] = mass[i - 1] - dt*z[i];
}
}
public:
public:
void update(const ROL::Vector<Real> \&x, UpdateType type, int iter = -1)
void update(const ROL::Vector<Real> \&x, UpdateType type, int iter = -1)
{
{
const std::vector<double>\& z = getControl(x);
const std::vector<double>\& z = getControl(x);
computeMass(z);
computeMass(z);
}
}
void value(ROL::Vector<double>\& c, const ROL::Vector<double>\& x, double\& tol)
void value(ROL::Vector<double>\& c, const ROL::Vector<double>\& x, double\& tol)
{
{
std::vector<double>\& cstd = getVector(c);
std::vector<double>\& cstd = getVector(c);
const std::vector<double>\& z = getControl(x);
const std::vector<double>\& z = getControl(x);
const std::vector<double>\& u = getState(x);
const std::vector<double>\& u = getState(x);
cstd[0] = u[0] + k*std::log(mass[0]/mInitial) + g*dt;
cstd[0] = u[0] + k*std::log(mass[0]/mInitial) + g*dt;
for(int i = 1; i < N; ++i)
for(int i = 1; i < N; ++i)
cstd[i] = u[i] - u[i-1] + k*std::log(mass[i]/mass[i - 1]) + g*dt;
cstd[i] = u[i] - u[i-1] + k*std::log(mass[i]/mass[i - 1]) + g*dt;
}

```
```

}

```
```

ROL can be a backend for algebraic modeling languages. We have an interface to AMPL.


■ Note: Our current interface is matrix free, i.e., we do not yet precondition with the matrix information from ASL.

16 The SimOpt Interface
Our rocket example - and optimal control in general - is what we call a simulation-constrained optimization problem.

## Full Space Formulation

The problem is explicitly constrained:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{minimize}_{(u, z) \in \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{Z}} J(u, z) \\
& \text { subject to } c(u, z)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reduced Space Formulation

The problem is implicitly constrained:
$\underset{z \in \mathcal{Z}}{\operatorname{minimize}} J(S(z), z)$,
where $u=S(z)$ solves $c(u, z)=0$.

■ $z=$ the vector being optimized (often a control or set of parameters)

- $u=$ a state resulting from $c$ (the simulation)

In engineering applications, $c$ is often a differential equation.
ROL’s SimOpt interface is "middleware":

- $u$ and $z$ are separated out of the optimization vector $x$
- converting full space formulations to reduced space ones (and vice-versa) is trivial.


## 17 The SimOpt Interface

## ROL: :Objective_SimOpt

■ value(u,z)
■ gradient_1(g,u,z)

- gradient_2(g,u,z)
- hessVec_11(hv, v, u, z)

■ hessVec_12(hv, v, u, z)
■ hessVec_21(hv,v,u,z)
■ hessVec_22(hv, v, u, z)

## A mnemonic:

■ $1=" \operatorname{sim} "=u$
■ $2=$ "opt" $=z$.

## ROL: :Constraint_SimOpt

- value $(u, z)$

■ applyJacobian_1 (jv,v,u,z)

- applyJacobian_2(jv, v,u,z)
- applyInverseJacobian_1 (ijv,v,u,z)
- applyAdjointJacobian_1 (ajv,v,u,z)
- applyAdjointJacobian_2(ajv,v,u,z)
- applyInverseAdjointJacobian_1(iajv,v,u,z)
- applyAdjointHessian_11(ahwv,w,v,u,z)
- applyAdjointHessian_12(ahwv,w,v,u,z)
- applyAdjointHessian_21(ahwv,w,v,u,z)
- applyAdjointHessian_22(ahwv,w,v,u,z)
- solve (u,z)


## 18 Stochastic Optimization

ROL also has middleware for stochastic problems:

$$
\underset{x \in C}{\operatorname{minimize}} \mathcal{R}(f(x, \xi)) .
$$

Here, $x$ is a deterministic decision but $\xi$ is a set of random parameters, i.e., $\xi=\xi(\omega)$.
For each $x, f(x, \xi)$ is a random variable $F_{x}(\omega)$.
$\mathcal{R}$ is a functional on these random variables that quantifies risk. $\mathcal{R}$ could be - for instance -

■ an expectation: $\mathcal{R}\left(F_{X}\right):=\mathbb{E}\left[F_{x}\right]$,
■ a quantile (the value at risk),
■ a distributionally robust model

$$
\mathcal{R}\left(F_{X}\right)=\sup _{P \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{E}_{P}\left[F_{X}\right]
$$

The set $\mathcal{C}$ can include both stochastic (e.g., $\ell \leq \tilde{\mathcal{R}}\left(G_{x}\right) \leq u$ ) and deterministic constraints.

ROL solves these problems in the usual way: $\mathcal{R}\left(F_{\chi}\right)$ and the stochastic constraints in $C$ are replaced with
approximations. For example, we might take

$$
\mathbb{E}[F(x)] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} f\left(x, \xi_{k}\right)
$$

where the $\xi_{k}$ are independent and identically distributed samples of $\xi$.

Application Programming Interface (API)


## ROL

Optimization algorithms manipulate vectors. But the implementation of these vectors do not affect what the algorithms do. (For example, the number of iterations before gradient descent reaches some stopping condition will be the same whether $x$ - the vector being optimized - is stored on a laptop or distributed over a network.)

ROL similarly relegates the inner workings of vectors to users. As a result,

■ ROL is hardware agnostic. Sandians run ROL on personal computers (in serial and MPI parallel), GPUs, and supercomputers too.

■ Users can easily tune the linear algebra of a problem by inheriting from an instance of ROL: :Vector (which we did in the rocket example).

## Member Functions

- dot
- plus
- norm
- scale
- clone
- axpy

■ dual

- zero
- set

■ basis

- reduce
- dimension
- applyUnary
- applyBinary

Application Programming Interface (API)



Context

- Hilbert Class Library (HCL) - Rice University

An abstract linear algebra interface.

- Trilinos - Sandia National Laboratories

Collection of linear and nonlinear solvers based on linear algebra abstractions.

- RTOp and Thyra

Packages for an extended set of algebraic abstractions.

- MOOCHO

Optimization package built on Thyra that solves reduced space formulations.

■ Rice Vector Library (RVL) - Rice University A revamp of HCL.

- Trilinos (continued)
- Aristos

Optimization package with algebra abstractions and full space formulations.

- Optipack

A few special-purpose optimization routines using algebra abstractions.

- PEOpt - Sandia National Laboratories Optimization packages using an alternative implementation of algebra abstractions.
- Optizelle - OptimoJoe Successor to PEOpt.

Inverse Problems in Acoustics/Elasticity
Sierra/SD - structural dynamics software


1 M optimization +1 M state variables
$D G M$ - a library of discontinuous Galerkin methods for solving partial differential equations


500 K optimization $+2 \mathrm{M} \times 5 \mathrm{~K}$ state variables

## Estimating Basal Friction of Ice Sheets

Albany - a multiphysics simulator


5 M optimization +20 M state variables
Super-Resolution Imaging
GPU processing with ArrayFire


250K optimization variables on an NVIDIA Tesla

- ROL is C++ code for solving large optimization problems.

■ It implements a variety of matrix-free algorithms and has been "battle-tested" on problems at Sandia.

- ROL has a flexible interface that can connect with algebraic modeling languages. And, importantly, ROL lets users implement their own vectors.


[^0]:    ■ Málek, Josef, and Zdeněk Strakoš. Preconditioning and the Conjugate Gradient

