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## Parallel Computing

- Motivation: large scientific problems
-Memory on single processor too small
-Runtime too long
- Need to distribute data across multiple processors
-Parallel sparse matrix-vector multiplication
-Distribute matrices
-Distribute vectors


## Parallel Matrix-Vector Multiplication

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
y_{3} \\
y_{4} \\
y_{5} \\
y_{6} \\
y_{7} \\
y_{8}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
5 & 1 & 9 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 8 & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 4 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2 \\
4 \\
3 \\
1 \\
4 \\
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Vectors partitioned identically


## Objective

- Ideally we minimize total run-time
- Settle for easier objective
- Work balanced
- Minimize total communication volume
- Can partition matrices in different ways
-1-D
-2-D
- Can model problem in different ways
- Graph
- Bipartite graph
- Hypergraph


## Parallel Matrix-Vector Multiplication



A

## Parallel Mat-Vec Multiplication Communication



- $x_{j}$ sent to remote processes that have nonzeros in column $j$


## Parallel Mat-Vec Multiplication Communication


"fan-in"

- Send partial inner-products to process that owns corresponding vector element $y_{i}$


## 1-D Column Partitioning



- Each process assigned nonzeros for set of columns


## 1-D Row Partitioning



- Each process assigned nonzeros for set of rows


## Hypergraph Model of 1-D (row) Partitioning


(4)
(2)


- Nonzero pattern can be unsymmetric
- Rows represented by vertices in hypergraph


## Hypergraph Model of 1-D (row) Partitioning



- Columns represented by hyperedges in hypergraph


## Hypergraph Model of 1-D (row) Partitioning



- Partition vertices into $k$ equal sets
- Hyperedge cut = communication volume
-Aykanat and Catalyurek (1996)
- NP-hard to solve optimally


## When 1-D Partitioning is Inadequate



- For nxn matrix for any 1-D bisection:
$-n n z=3 n-2$
- Volume $\approx 3 / 4^{*} n$



## 2-D Partitioning Methods



- More flexibility in partitioning
- Mondriaan
- Fairly fast
- Generally gives good partitions


## 2-D Method: Fine-grain Hypergraph Model



- Catalyurek and Aykanat (2001)
- Assign each nz separately
- Nonzeros represented by vertices in hypergraph


## 2-D Method: Fine-grain Hypergraph Model



- Rows represented by hyperedges


## 2-D Method: Fine-grain Hypergraph Model



- Columns represented by hyperedges


## 2-D Method: Fine-grain Hypergraph Model



- $2 n$ hyperedges


## 2-D Method: Fine-grain Hypergraph Model



- Partition vertices into k equal sets
- Volume = hypergraph cut
- Minimum volume partition when optimally solved
- Larger NP-hard problem

$$
\mathrm{k}=2 \text {, volume }=3
$$

## 2-D Method: Fine-grain Hypergraph Model



- Loosening load-balancing restriction we can obtain a nontrivial partition of minimum cut


## New 2-D Method: "corner" partitioning



- Optimal partitioning of arrowhead matrix suggests new partitioning method


## New 2-D Method: "corner" partitioning


-1-D partitions reflected across diagonal

New 2-D Method: "corner" partitioning


- Take lower triangular part of matrix


## New 2-D Method: "corner" partitioning


-1-D (column) hypergraph partition of lower triangular matrix

## New 2-D Method: "corner" partitioning



- Reflect partition symmetrically across diagonal


## New 2-D Method: "corner" partitioning



- Optimal partition


## Comparison of Methods -- Arrowhead Matrix

| p | 1D column | Mondriaan | Corner | Fine grain |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 29101 | 29102 | $\mathbf{2}^{*}$ | $\mathbf{2}^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 40001 | 29778 | $\mathbf{6}^{*}$ | $\mathbf{6}^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 40012 | 37459 | $\mathbf{3 0}^{*}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | 40048 | 39424 | $\mathbf{1 2 6}^{*}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6}^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Order $n$ |  | 2(p-1) |

- $\mathrm{n}=40,000$
- $n n z=119,998$
*optimal
(1) $\begin{gathered}\text { Sandia } \\ \text { National }\end{gathered}$

Laboratories

## Comparison of Methods -- "Real" Matrices




Engineering

## Comparison of Methods -- finan512 Matrix



## Comparison of Methods -- bcsstk30 Matrix



## Summary

- Many models for reducing communication in matrix-vector multiplication
-1-D partitioning inadequate for many partitioning problems
- New method of 2-D matrix partitioning
- Improvement for some matrices
-Faster than fine-grain method


## Future Work

- Better intuition for "corner" partitioning method
-Optimal for arrowhead matrix
-Good for finan512, bcsstk30 matrices
-When a good method?
-Reordering of matrix rows/columns for "corner" partitioning method
-Unlike 1-D graph/hypergraph, dependence on ordering
-Find optimal ordering/partition
-Extend utility of method
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