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## Parallel Matrix-Vector Multiplication

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
y_{3} \\
y_{4} \\
y_{5}^{5} \\
y_{6} \\
y_{7} \\
y_{8}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
5 & 1 & 9 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
8 & 0 & 1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 8 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 3 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 9 & 1 & 4 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3} \\
x_{4} \\
x_{5} \\
x_{6} \\
x_{7} \\
x_{8}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Vectors partitioned identically


## Objective

- Ideally we minimize total run-time
- Settle for easier objective
- Work balanced
- Minimize total communication volume
- Can partition matrices in different ways
-1-D
-2-D
- Can model communication in different ways
- Graph
- Bipartite graph
- Hypergraph


## Parallel Matrix-Vector Multiplication



## Parallel Matrix-Vector Multiplication Stage 1



- $x_{j}$ sent to remote processes that have nonzeros in column $j$


## Parallel Matrix-Vector Multiplication Stage 2



- Local partial inner-products


## Parallel Matrix-Vector Multiplication Stage 3



- Send partial inner-products to process that owns corresponding vector element $y_{i}$


## Parallel Matrix-Vector Multiplication Stage 4



- Accumulate partial inner-products to obtain complete resulting vector


## 1 -D Column Partitioning



- Each process assigned nonzeros for set of columns


## 1 -D Column Partitioning



- Only "fan-in" communication stage necessary


## 1-D Row Partitioning



- Each process assigned nonzeros for set of rows


## 1-D Row Partitioning



- Only "fan-out" communication stage necessary


## Graph Model of 1-D Partitioning



- Each row or column represented by graph vertex
-Weighted by number of nonzeros in row/column


## Graph Model of 1-D Partitioning



- Nonzeros represented by edges between 2 vertices (corresponding to nonzero row, col)


## Graph Model of 1-D Partitioning



- Partition into $k$ equal sets
- Such that number of cut edges is minimized


## Graph Model Shortcomings



- Inaccurate approximation of communication volume
- Approximate volume: 6
- Actual volume: 4


## Graph Model Shortcomings



- Requires symmetric nonzero pattern
- NP-hard to solve optimally


## Hypergraph Model of 1-D (Row) Partitioning



- Nonzero pattern can be unsymmetric
- Rows represented by vertices in hypergraph
- Weighted by number of nonzeros in row


## Hypergraph Model of 1-D (Row) Partitioning



- Columns represented by hyperedges in hypergraph


## Hypergraph Model of 1-D (Row) Partitioning



- Partition vertices into $k$ equal sets
- Hyperedge cut = communication volume
- Aykanat and Catalyurek (1996)
- NP-hard to solve optimally


## Graph Model Revisited



- Bisection: count boundary vertices
- Slightly more complicated for k>2


## When 1-D Partitioning is Inadequate


"Arrowhead" matrix

## When 1-D Partitioning is Inadequate



- For nxn matrix for any 1-D bisection:
$-n n z=3 n-2$
- Volume $\approx 3 / 4^{*}$ n


## 2-D Partitioning Methods

- More flexibility
- Yield lower communication volume for many problems


## 2-D Partitioning Methods: Cartesian



- Different variations
- Two-stage partitioning of rows and columns with 1D hypergraph partitioning


## 2-D Partitioning Methods: Cartesian



- Block version shown for clarity
- Stage 1: partition rows


## 2-D Partitioning Methods: Cartesian



- Stage 2: partition columns
- Load imbalance


## 2-D Partitioning Methods: Mondriaan



- Piet Mondria(a)n
-Dutch painter (1872-1944)
-Colored rectangles
-Black rectilinear lines

-2D Mondriaan Method
-Bisseling, Vastenhouw
-Irregular rectangle partitions


## 2-D Partitioning Methods: Mondriaan



- Recursive bisection hypergraph partitioning
- Each level: 1D row or column partitioning


## 2-D Partitioning Methods: Mondriaan



- Block version shown for clarity
- Level 1-- entire matrix
- Row partitioning (cut: 4 vs. 5)


## 2-D Partitioning Methods: Mondriaan



- Level 2 -- upper partition
- Column partitioning


## 2-D Partitioning Methods: Mondriaan



- Level 2 -- lower partition
- Row partitioning (balance)


## 2-D Partitioning Methods: Mondriaan



- Mondriaan
- Fairly fast
- Generally yields good partitions
- Does not suffer from poor load-balancing


## 2-D Method: Fine-Grain Hypergraph Model



- Catalyurek and Aykanat (2001)
- Assign each nz separately
- Nonzeros represented by vertices in hypergraph


## 2-D Method: Fine-Grain Hypergraph Model



- Rows represented by hyperedges


## 2-D Method: Fine-Grain Hypergraph Model



- Columns represented by hyperedges


## 2-D Method: Fine-Grain Hypergraph Model



- $2 n$ hyperedges


## 2-D Method: Fine-Grain Hypergraph Model



- Partition vertices into k equal sets
- Volume = hypergraph cut
- Minimum volume partitioning when optimally solved
- Larger NP-hard problem


## 2-D Method: Fine-Grain Hypergraph Model



- Loosening load-balancing restriction we can obtain minimum cut (for nontrivial partitioning)


## New 2-D Method: "Corner" Partitioning



- Optimal partitioning of arrowhead matrix suggests new partitioning method


## New 2-D Method: "Corner" Partitioning


-1-D partitions reflected across diagonal

## New 2-D Method: "Corner" Partitioning



- Take lower triangular part of matrix


## New 2-D Method: "Corner" Partitioning



- 1-D (column) hypergraph partitioning of lower triangular matrix


## New 2-D Method: "Corner" Partitioning



- Reflect partitioning symmetrically across diagonal


## New 2-D Method: "Corner" Partitioning



- Optimal (non-trivial) partitioning


## Comparison of Methods -- Arrowhead Matrix

| k | 1D Column | Mondriaan | Corner | Fine-Grain |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 29101 | 29102 | 2* | 2* |
| 4 | 40001 | 29778 | 6* | 6* |
| 16 | 40012 | 37459 | 30* | 30* |
| 64 | 40048 | 39424 | 126* | 126* |

- $\mathrm{n}=40,000$
- nnz = 119,998
*optimal


## Comparison of Methods -- "Real" Matrices



## Comparison of Methods -- finan512 Matrix



## Comparison of Methods -- bcsstk30 Matrix



## Summary

- Many models for reducing communication in matrix-vector multiplication
-1-D partitioning inadequate for many partitioning problems
- New method of 2-D matrix partitioning
- Improvement for some matrices
-Faster than fine-grain method


## Future Work

- Better intuition for "corner" partitioning method
-Optimal for arrowhead matrix
-Good for finan512, bcsstk30 matrices
-When effective?
-Reordering of matrix rows/columns for "corner" partitioning method
-Unlike 1-D graph/hypergraph, dependence on ordering
-Find optimal ordering/partition
-Extend utility of method
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