

Diffusion-limited release-etch rate modeling for complex surface micromachined structures

W.P. Eaton*, J.H. Smith, and R.L. Jarecki

Sandia National Laboratories
P.O. Box 5800, MS 1080
Albuquerque, NM 87185-1080
E-mail: eaton@chtm.eece.unm.edu

Abstract

Knowledge of release-etch kinetics is essential for designing manufacturing processes for large surface micromachined structures such as sealed cavities and flow channels. For large, closed structures, the use of numerous etch access holes is not feasible, although alternative release-etch approaches may be possible [1]. Because the etching of large structures from their edges rapidly becomes diffusion limited, long etch times are required to release these structures. Furthermore, for mechanical/sacrificial layer systems with non-ideal etch selectivities, degradation of the mechanical layer is a concern. For these reasons, understanding the etch rate behavior for a given geometry can be crucial to the successful fabrication of a micromachined part. Although simple geometries can be modeled analytically, modeling the etching of complex geometries can be difficult. However, by joining the solutions of release-etch kinetics of simple parts, the etching of complex structures can be modeled with less effort.

For oxide-based sacrificial layers and aqueous HF release-etch chemistries, the etch kinetics have been determined for the simple geometry of the rectangular etch port (Figure 1a) [2,3,4]. Other useful basic geometries can be identified, such as concentric circles and bubbles (Figure 1b and 1c). The solutions for the etch kinetics of these geometries can be joined to model more complex geometries (Figures 1d, 1e, and 6).

Fick's First and Second Laws, along with boundary conditions and proportionality constants can be used to determine solutions for release etch kinetics. It has been demonstrated that the etch rate is a non-first order function of concentration [1,2]. However, first order kinetics often yield analytical solutions, and behave similarly to non-first order kinetics. Etch distance as a function of etch time is plotted in Figure 2 for first order and second order kinetics of the concentric circles solutions. The first order solution is analytical; whereas, the second order solution is numerical. For both cases, the model predicts that the etch rate starts out high, decreases due to diffusion limitations, and then increases at the end of the etch.

Like many thin film properties, etch constants of the sacrificial layers are expected to be dependent on deposition parameters, deposition equipment, and processing details. Hence, these constants should be determined for any specific fabrication process. Once the etch constants are found for a specific geometry, they can be applied to other geometries.

Joined solutions for differently sized ports are plotted in Figure 3. The solution for a port-to-bubble geometry is plotted in Figure 4.

A surface micromachined pressure sensor diaphragm [5] is shown in Figure 5. The release-etch can be modeled by a port-to-bubble-to-wedge solution, as shown schematically in Figure 6 and plotted in Figure 7. Non-linear regression fits for a diaphragm bubble-to-wedge solution are plotted in Figure 8.

Detailed mathematical treatments for all of the geometries in Figures 1 and 6 will be presented. Limitations of the models will be discussed. Finally, experimental data for a variety of geometrical structures, built with both doped and undoped sacrificial oxide films, will be presented.

References

- [1] M.W. Judy and R.T. Howe, "Polysilicon Hollow Beam Lateral Resonators", *Proceedings of IEEE Micro Electro Mechanical Systems*, Ft. Lauderdale FL, pp. 265-271 (February 1993).
- [2] Y.-C. Tai and C.-M. Ho, "Silicon Micromachining and Its Applications", *Proceedings of the SPIE, Smart Structures and Materials 1995: Smart Electronics*, SPIE, Vol 2448, pp. 141-151 (March 1995).

* Under contract from the University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131

This work was performed at Sandia National Laboratories and was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

- [3] D.J. Monk, "Controlled Structure Release for Silicon Surface Micromachining", *Ph.D. Dissertation, University of California at Berkeley*, Department of Chemical Engineering (1993).
- [4] D.J. Monk, D.S. Soan, and R.T. Howe, "A diffusion/chemical reaction model for HF etching of LPCVD phosphosilicate glass sacrificial layers", *Technical Digest: IEEE Solid State Sensor and Actuator Workshop, Hilton Head '92*, pp. 46-49 (1992).
- [5] W.P. Eaton, "Characterization of a Surface Micromachined Pressure Sensor Array", *Proceedings of the SPIE, Micromachining and Microfabrication Symposium*, SPIE, Vol 2642 (October 23-24, 1995).




Figure 1. Possible geometric etch structures. Simple structure are shown at left. More complex structures, shown at right, are formed by combining simple structures.




Figure 2. Normalized etch front position vs. Normalized etch time for first order (left) and second order (right) concentric circles solution. radius=500 μm . Physical constants from Tai *et. al* [2] were used.




Figure 3. Normalized concentration at etch front vs normalized etch distance for second order port to port solution. port 1: length=50 μm , width=5 μm ; port 2: length=100 μm , width=50 μm . Heights for both ports were 1 μm . Physical constants from Tai *et. al* [2] were used.




Figure 4. Normalized concentration at etch front vs normalized etch distance for second order port to bubble solution. port length=50 μm ; final bubble radius=100 μm . Physical constants from Tai *et. al* [2] were used.



Figure 5. Scanning electron micrograph of surface micromachined pressure sensor diaphragm with 8 etch ports.




Figure 6. Schematic progression of release etch for port to bubble to wedge system (top view) of Figure 5. The wedge solution begins after all of the bubbles from their respective etch ports are joined.




Figure 7. Normalized etch distance vs normalized etch time for second order port to bubble to wedge solution of Figure 6. port length=50 μm , port width=5 μm , circular radius=100 μm . Physical constants from Tai *et. al* [2] were used.




Figure 8. Etch time/distance curve fits for an 8 port circular surface micromachined pressure sensor diaphragm. (left) first order solution. (right) order solution. The radius of the diaphragm was 250 μm . Only bubble and wedge regimes were fit. A constant port etch time of 2 min was used and an effective port length of 49 μm was used for diffusion resistance.

RECEIVED
JAN 20 1994

Information Release
REVIEW AND APPROVAL FORM



SANDIA NATIONAL
LABORATORIES
TECHNICAL LIBRARY

SAND No. 96-0224A Other Control No. _____ If other Control No., name of
IMPORTANT:

Is this release the result of CRADA Reimbursable Work for Others
If yes, indicate ID number _____
Has your partner reviewed and approved this release? Yes No
If no, you may not release this information without partner approval.

Originating org. please complete sections 1 to 6

SECTION 1. Title, Author's Name, Phone, Organization Number. Print or type all information.

Title of report, viewgraph, video, etc. Diffusion-limited release-etch rate modeling for complex shapes

surface micromachined structures 844-3098

Principal author's name W.P. Eaton ^{James H. Smith} Phone No. 845-8209 Org. No. 1325 Mail Stop No. 1080

Contractor to Sandia. List company name and contract no. UNM, AM-6036 Principal Case No. 4185.550

SECTION 2. Category of information. Check the category that best describes your product. Please check instructions for definitions.

Scientific and Technical information
 Public information to nonspecific general audiences (requires DOE pre-approval - contact Sandia Print Shop for printed material 12615 (8535),
Video Services for videos 12614 (8275), or Tech Art for exhibits 12616 (8535) immediately)
DOE Distribution Category number _____ (Required for technical reports only.)

SECTION 3. Format and Release Event Information. Indicate the format(s) of the information you plan to release and provide information about the release event. See instructions.

Format: Report Publication Periodical Journal Article Abstract
 Conference Paper (3 copies) Slides Viewgraphs Audio/Video/Film Exhibit/Display/Poster

Release Event: Indicate name of conference, meeting or publication, the sponsoring organization, place and date of event.

Name: 1996 Solid-State Sensor and Actuator Workshop

Organization: Transducers Research Foundation

Place: Hilton Head Island, South Carolina

Date: 6/2-6/6 1996

SECTION 4. Sensitive Information Review. Indicate the classification level and category:

Title UNC Abstract UNC Total Product NA

Classification dissemination limitations:

NWD Sigma CNWDI WNINTEL SUCI Other _____
 Unclassified dissemination limitations - Means this unclassified communications product contains sensitive information that requires dissemination restriction in accordance with one (or more) of the following control categories:
 Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information (UCNI) Applied Technology
 Reactor Safeguards Information (RSI) Internal Distribution/Unofficial Report
 Export Controlled Information (ECI) Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR)
 Official Use Only (OUO) exemption # _____ Protected CRADA Information
 Contains Proprietary Information (needs a Non-disclosure Agreement attached) Other Rationale or Program Name _____

12/20K

Unrestricted dissemination (Unlimited Release) means that distribution may be made worldwide of this unclassified information.

Authorized derivative classifier (ADC) Paul J. McWhorter

ADC Signature [Signature] Type or print
ADC Org. 1325 Date 1/8/96

SECTION 5. Intellectual Property Information.

Has an invention disclosure (TA form) relating to the subject of this release been filed with the Sandia Patent and Licensing Office? Yes No

If not, do you think an invention disclosure should be filed? Yes No

If the answer to either of the above two questions is Yes, when was the invention first publicly revealed (other than to Sandians or DOE personnel), if at all? Date _____

If you have any questions, please call the Sandia Patent and Licensing Office.


4p

SECTION 6. Line Signatures and Approvals. Print or type all author information; obtain appropriate signatures from next level manager and Program Manager or Center Director. Where concurrence is obtained in case of multiple authors, approval need only go through the principal author's line organization.

Author's Names' (print or type)	Social Security No.	Org. No.	Phone No.	Next Level Manager's Signature	Date
W P Eaton	455-57-9921	1325	845-8209	Paul J. McWhorter	1/8/96
J. H. Smith	178-42-9376	1325	844-3098	Paul J. McWhorter	
R. L. Jarecki		1326	845-0869	Linda M. Cecchi	

Program Manager or Center Director (signatures depend on center policy) A. D. Romig, 1300 Director  1/8/96

SECTION 7. Classification and Sensitive Information (1321/8535) review (MS 0175/9021).

Signature  Date 9 Jan 96

SECTION 8. Patent and Licensing (11500/8535) review (MS 0161/9021). Send to DOE/AL for contractor-authored reports.


- Copyright Interest? Yes No
- Patent Interest? Yes No
- Patent Caution? Yes No

Signature  Date 1/10/96

SECTION 9. Printing (12615/8535), Video (12614/8275), or Tech Art (12616/8535), review of public communications only (MS 0104/9021, 0551/9131, 0409/9021).
DOE approval received _____

Signature _____ Date _____

SECTION 10. Technical Publications (12613/8535) review (MS 0619/9021)

Signature  Date 1-12-96