

- Thermal cooling needs
 - Use less water and maximize energy
 - X gal/MWh
 - Better quality assured data and metrics from EIA—QA/QC
 - Once-through—x gal/MWh; cut 50%
 - Recirculating—x gal/MWh
 - \$/gal for reduction
 - where excessive use is
 - mechanism/incentive for transferring it
 - adoption
 - retrofit
 - new
 - For H2 economy same amount of water as used today
 - Characterize potential of same cooling technologies in buildings and industry to cut demand side
 - Industry, x%
 - Commercial buildings, 20-30% cut in water use
 - Lower energy demand
 - Define terms/technology
 - Use
 - Withdrawal
 - By technology
 - Conditions/seasonal/regional
 - Impact of 1 degree C temp by 2050 on cooling
 - Use lower quality water
 - What level/type—uniform definition
 - How many times does it recirculate
 - Acceptable disposal
 - Reduced volume by x%
 - Avoiding dilution
 - Tertiary uses—reuse applications
 - Avoiding/reducing by going to dispersed generation
 - Sizing of plants to grey water supplies
 - Characteristics of plant to location
 - Ground cooling/uses/use of waste heat
 - Co-location with uses like ag, district heat
 - Integrated with industry, buildings
 - What % increase is possible and at what cost? Need case studies.
- Hydropower needs
 - Build water allocation models to show uses among all users
 - Supply—over last 70 years—reservoir, how much has been paid for them/ownership—inflow/outflow
 - Demand
 - Defined by each use in basin—quantity and time—weekly for all 52 weeks
 - Price of energy by time

- Forecast to compare competing in-stream uses
 - Ability to look at how much used for new development, impact on other uses
 - Cost of development
 - “What if” scenarios—prepare
- Rehab—cost/quantity/potential
- Changes in model from climate change
 - Sedimentation
 - Flows
 - Flow variations into weekly data
 - Temp—demand/supply
 - Rainfall intensity—by basin, finer detail, as current and cheaply as possible
 - Impact of stream inflow requirements—technology
- Monitoring to adapt
 - Today, \$10k/station/year – needs to be fraction of this cost
 - Data management
- Groundwater monitors
 - \$5k each today, needs to be fraction of that
- Planning model based on historical
- Operational models
 - Built collaboratively
 - Build models to determine number of data points/system
 - 1 gauging station per sub-watershed
 - Budgets up to cover, or cheaper equipment
 - Building toward a real-time model for GPS
 - Groundwater different from surface water – ways to optimize groundwater
 - Buffering storage for energy and other uses
- Near—Population Growth
 - Substate level projections
 - That can be related to water, energy
 - Not driven by local politics—-independent
 - Suitable for modeling
 - Historical data on trends
 - By river basin/water reservoirs
 - Septic system data
 - On public supply vs wells
 - Water use by home, industry, buildings
 - Focused on eastern US
 - Statistically sound
 - Models of building water use—like DOE2—all models need to be developed collectively with state and local agencies/stakeholders
 - Feed into models to optimize water uses—known but not communicated

- WH
 - CHP
 - Building codes and water districts
 - Green buildings
 - Case studies/best practices
 - Where technologies work, and appeal to utilities/commissions
 - Where it feeds into community plans—like their safe water plans
 - Growth of water uses ... irrigation, golf, pools
- Long-term needs—hydrogen
 - Assessment of water required
 - Energy/thermal cooling impacts
 - Goal of holding water requirements the same
 - Air pollutant emissions
 - Water for hydrogen—stable
 - What quality water? Salt? Where it is!
 - Transportation to hydrogen production—siting
 - Implications of production sites for distribution
 - How to put it in allocation models—value, cost, etc.
 - Water/vapor at end-use (fuel cells)
 - Impacts microclimate
 - Icing of roads
- Carbon sequestration needs
 - Ref interstate oil and gas assn report on CO2 storage
 - Increased energy demand
 - Water needs
 - Quantity?
 - Quality?
 - Locations
 - Holding water use and emission levels the sam
 - Water impact
 - Reinjection impact on groundwater
 - Where can it enhance/improve?
 - Negative impact?
 - By geology/hydrology
 - Secondary uses/benefits
 - Other impurities/metals
- Need: Aging infrastructure
 - Need quantification of cost implications
 - Quantify leakage/infiltration from old pipes
 - Infiltration from leaking pipes
 - Energy requirements of those
 - Check AWR, others for data and info—what gaps?
 - Quality/cost of water/energy that could be recovered and where
 - Better geophysical/remote methods to understand
 - Better meters
 - AMR—more and better

- Replacement/diagnostics program
 - Pipe rehab technology/less disruptive
 - Models/tools for accounting/assessment
 - No-dig technology
 - Funding mechanisms
 - Asset management approach
 - Costs
 - Priorities
 - Planning
 - Funding approaches
 - Lifecycle v first cost
 - Business models—distribution of costs
 - Publicly acceptable
 - Water loss audit standard
- Upgrades
 - Efficiency to reduce strain on infrastructure
 - Green roofs
 - Cost effective
 - Impact on water, energy, cost
 - Facilitating reuse
 - Better information on application, impacts on UHI—tie into models
- Solutions—Instream uses
 - How to model/quantify demands with hard science analysis
 - Quantity
 - Timing
 - Temp
 - Turbulence
 - Variability
 - Depth at worst point in nav. Path
 - Upgrade/coordination with POTWS to supply flow
 - Needs real-time monitoring
 - Hydropower
 - Thermal cooling
 - Commercial development
 - Env
 - Aquatic
 - Aesthetics
 - Valuation of recreation/navigation
- Needs-instream uses
 - Comparable metrics
 - \$ valuation
 - ranges min and max
 - vary by time and conditions
 - baselines
 - legal dimensions of this
 - rights

- requirements
 - interstate
 - authorization—original purpose vs new purposes
 - technology to deal with extremes—quantified costs and locations in basins of ...
 - produced water
 - pumped storage volumes
 - hydropower reservoir
 - aquifer storage and recovery
 - how does it all change with climate?
- Needs—water laws
 - Regional level instead of state
 - Water basins
 - Characterization – conflicts, needed deltas
 - Adaptive management
 - Other regional planning
 - National water commission to work on means to form/facilitate regional
 - ICWP as an approach?
 - Need unified forum/group to work with feds
 - No dam left behind
 - Opportunities for national actions

Solutions

- Data baseline
 - State water resource plans
 - Canvass states (GA)
 - Characterize
 - Quality, type
 - Water usage by user
 - Industry, power, ag, commercial, homeowner
 - Data on water utilities
 - Financial/economic
 - Hydrologic data, models
 - QA—has use
 - Need infrastructure to handle all this data
 - GIS capable
 - Data sharing, cooperation
- Universities—linkage of water resource institutes
 - Land grant universities
 - UGA
- State geological surveys
- USGS state reps
- Lots of holes and assumptions to fix
- Political issue of reporting
 - Like ag use in GA
 - States don't have funds to do some of it

- State by state assessment
- NRC study and recommendations
- Coordinating council—bring back water resources council
 - Find a mechanism
- Coordination across data, modeling, response
- Making data and info available—web sites and links, clearinghouses, something
- Mechanism for standardization of data and info—for measuring, reporting, what is appropriate and needed
- Water data that is as good as and comparable to energy data
 - Something to value it
 - A water information administration (long term)

Analysis needs

- National assessment
- Water/energy data that would let private sector exploit for energy/water savings/performance
- Technology characterization—interactions of systems
 - Economic value

Solutions

- TAG—for water technologies existing or emerging
- Technology adoption
 - Petroleum tech transfer institute approach—for water tech/operators
 - Water planning groups
 - City managers
 - Industrial water users
 - Ag water users
 - State water users
 - Water/equip suppliers
 - Technology demonstration effort on some of these
 - Env tech verification model
 - Bringing together separate energy and water infrastructures in countries
 - Mayoral/municipal
 - Electric utilities
 - Private water companies (15%)
 - PSDs
 - Water/energy conservation
- Pricing mechanisms/signals
 - Mechanisms for low-income
 - Feedback/response to price signal—with AMR
 - TOU pricing—seasonal
 - Reducing peak
 - Value of reducing peak
- Leak assessment audit standard should be widely adopted (water loss audit standard)
 - Benchmarking tools/usage analysis

- Assessment of impacts of climate change on baseline
 - 1 degree C temp rise by 2050, erratic
 - how does it change advantages of different technology and other solutions
 - risk reduction strategy by states
 - adaptation approach
 - sensitivity analysis
 - insurance industry connection
 - NOAA reference
- Hydroclimatology at NOAA as a resource
 - Relate water to climate change
- Can't afford solutions that are not holistic
- Population growth
 - Increase of SE to size of FL ... what does that imply for infrastructure
 - Assessment of impact on water...demand, hydrology, all the groups involved
 - Better, decentralized/small water systems
 - Smart growth approaches—have lowered impacts
 - Make areas with infrastructure attractive
 - Modeling/control of pumping systems
 - SCADA system for water supply
 - Rigorous zoning and control related to energy and water
-